



# REAL GREENS v. FAKE GREENS!

(Part Two)

An investigation into the truth about the Green Movement's  
current campaign for Money and Banking Reform

## A Direct but Respectful Open Challenge to the New Economics Foundation and Positive Money

Clear historical evidence proves that our whole economy is both a  
lie and a scam – so, why haven't these green-inspired campaigning  
organisations said or done anything to expose the truth about  
what's really happening to all of us?

*By Justin Walker of the New Chartist Movement and  
a prominent activist in the Green Party from 1978 until 1992*

## CHAPTER ONE

*The truth is definitely out there...but only when you're a backbencher!*

Researchers and campaigners for monetary reform and social justice are perplexed. Something is very, **very** wrong indeed within the current Green and Progressive Movement! Those of us who have been researching money creation and money supply in great detail know, with absolute certainty, that there is a very simple solution for swiftly eradicating austerity, poverty and unlawful debt...forever!

And, amazingly, so do Jeremy Corbyn and John McDonnell – they know exactly what can and has to be done to swiftly remove austerity, poverty and unlawful debt forever in 'one fell swoop'! Back in November 2013, as humble backbenchers with only a limited influence on events, they both supported and signed *Early Day Motion 748 (2013)* along with their recently departed friend, the late Paul Flynn. This *EDM* reads as follows:

***That this House notes that the hundredth anniversary of the Bradbury Pound on 7 August 2014 is a welcome reminder of the historic precedent for public credit [now called Sovereign National Credit] as the sound basis for debt-and interest-free Treasury money and therefore the sound alternative to the national debt and interest-bearing bank money... and urges HM Treasury to follow John Bradbury's model and address social, economic and political issues across party lines in one fell swoop and avoid wholly unnecessary austerity cuts.***

<https://edm.parliament.uk/early-day-motion/46238>

It would seem that these two veteran Left and 'awkward squad' politicians viewed the restoration of the 1914 Treasury-issued Bradbury Pound as being a simple and common sense solution for tackling poverty, austerity and social injustice. But, something very strange happened when they found themselves elected and promoted to the frontbench and appointed Privy Councillors. In effect, they had both been quickly reined in to become good servants to the 'Crown'...and that's not just to Her Majesty the Queen but to the whole corporate and secretive set-up that we know as 'The City of London' or 'The Square Mile'. And every attempt in the last three years to communicate with Jeremy and John on this subject of the Bradbury Pound (or Sovereign National Credit as it is termed by money reformers; or Treasury M0 at 100% as it is termed by economists) has come to nought – both of them just don't want to know any more about the Bradbury Pound and they certainly don't want to risk discussing it in public. Why?

And it's not just Jeremy and John. The huge importance and implications of Sovereign National Credit make the current Brexit debacle pale into complete insignificance. It really is the big

political issue that all of our elected servants in Parliament do not want to talk about. The former Chancellor of the Exchequer, George Osborne, refused repeatedly to respond to our correspondence about Sovereign National Credit, as has the current Chancellor, Philip Hammond, who has also refused point blank to make any comments at all about restoring the 1914 Treasury-issued Bradbury Pound. And Nicky Morgan MP - along with her Treasury Select Committee colleagues who were all written to individually – also refuses to enter into any sort of meaningful correspondence. In addition, hundreds of our supporters have also tried to raise this incredibly important fiscal issue with their MPs, every time being met with an evasive and meaningless response. It is as if a 'D Notice' has been issued by the Crown...*we order you not to give succour to these wretched people who have stumbled across the truth about money creation and money supply!*

## CHAPTER TWO


*What exactly is this Bradbury Pound that our cowardly politicians are so scared of?*

It was in September 2012 that I received an anonymous phone-call from someone claiming to be the son of a very elderly and retired Director of the Bank of England who, he said, had served with my late uncle, Sir Harry Pilkington (later The Lord Pilkington of St Helens). My uncle had attended the first-ever Bilderberg Group meeting at the Hotel Bilderberg back in 1954 – a year later he became a Director of the Bank of England, a position he held until 1972. He once told me, when giving me advice on my future career path, “*Never, ever believe anything you read in the press because we control it and never, ever believe a politician when they say they can do something...they can't unless we say they can*”. It was quite clear that he was referring to the City of London and what today has become known by serious researchers as the unaccountable and unelected ‘Deep State’.

But going back to my mysterious phone-call, the caller went on to say, “*my father wants you to research the ‘Bradbury’...and when you do, you will find a solution to all of Britain’s economic woes.*” And with that he very politely terminated the call, saying that I would not be able to contact him ever again. All mysterious and very intriguing, but, after nearly an hour of frantic searching on the internet, I came across an important, and very largely forgotten, episode in our country’s history.

I came across the writings of another Privy Councillor, only this time he was active in the 1930s. His name was the Rt. Hon. Thomas Johnston MP – a Labour politician who has become known to history as the father of the Scottish hydro-electric power programme and someone for whom nobody had a bad word to say about. In 1934, he wrote a book, *The Financiers and the Nation*, and in Chapter 6 entitled ‘*Usury on the Great War*’ ([http://archive.sustecweb.co.uk/past/sustec12-6/extract\\_from\\_the\\_financiers\\_and.htm](http://archive.sustecweb.co.uk/past/sustec12-6/extract_from_the_financiers_and.htm)), the whole shocking truth about money creation and money supply comes into focus for first real time.

## Real Greens v. Fake Greens - Part 2



*Thomas Johnston MP – an honest politician who exposed honest money!*

The 1914 Treasury-issued Bradbury Pound actually saved the nation from financial collapse at the outbreak of war, but it was a fiscal principle that could only be used extremely sparingly by the remote and hidden financial controllers of our country. Why? Because the continued and full-time use of this common-sense principle of money creation would spell the complete end to all private central banking, the private money markets and the absolute fraud that is today's modern debt-creating banking. And it would also bring to an end all forms of invasive and complex direct taxation which the politicians need in order to keep us all fearful, submissive, distracted... and them in a job!



*Rushed through and created in just three days by HM Treasury at the outbreak of WW1, this simple but effective fiscal measure - debt-free and interest-free money that is based solely on the wealth and labour potential of the nation - prevented the complete and sudden collapse of the British economy and the City of London. The first emergency batch of debt-free and interest-free Bradburys (top) were printed on*

stamp paper on one side only and contained the signature of Sir John Bradbury, the then First Secretary to HM Treasury. For the full story of the Bradbury Pound, go to

<https://www.ukcolumn.org/sites/default/files/pdf/bankers-bradburys-carnage-western-front.pdf>

**In simple terms, the Bradbury Pound confirmed the common sense and tried and tested fiscal arrangement that any sovereign country in the world can implement for the good and prosperity of all its people. Any sovereign country can create, issue and control all the liquidity or money it needs so as to ensure that that country is secure, prosperous and happy. And that this money (created and overseen by a country's treasury and not by its privately controlled central bank) would be debt-free and interest-free as its value is based solely on the wealth and labour potential (creativity) of that country and its people.**

**It's simple, effective and history has shown that it works extremely well. When implemented properly by a wise and responsible government that is not in bed with the private financial sector, Sovereign National Credit does not lead to any sort of damaging inflation, nor even hyper-inflation as with the 1930s Weimar Republic.**

**Indeed, when it comes to this often-cited historical event, where quite literally a wheelbarrow of money was needed to pay for a loaf of bread, what you're not told was how this hyperinflation was actually triggered by the actions of the privately run central bank, the Reichsbank, aided and abetted by overseas currency speculators within the private financial sector. The Weimar Republic's treasury was not actually involved. And when Hitler gained power, he immediately implemented the German equivalent to the Bradbury Pound - money that was created solely on the work (creativity) done by the German nation - in order to rapidly restore the economy to full production and prosperity...**

<http://www.webofdebt.com/articles/bankrupt-germany.php>

**And, finally, Sovereign National Credit is a fiscal process that we, the ordinary people, are in full control of for the direct benefit of all! No more food banks! No more homelessness! No more worries about the NHS and our elderly and vulnerable. No more debt-laden students. No more running down of our police and armed services. And certainly, no more austerity and poverty!**

According to the Office for National Statistics, in 2017 the UK was worth overall something in the region of £30 trillion (the 'net worth' was around £10 trillion whilst 'real human capital' was around £20 trillion). If we were to once again resort to this common sense fiscal policy of Sovereign National Credit, by harnessing only a tiny fraction of this £30 trillion figure, the Treasury could start to draw off and create debt-free and interest-free money (Treasury M0 at 100%) to meet all of our country's essential needs and requirements (NHS, Social Care, Debt-free

Education, Defence, Transport, Energy and the protection of our strategically important Industries) without resorting to any form of direct taxation nor needing in any way to borrow interest-bearing loans from the private banking and financial institutions who just fraudulently create their money completely out of thin air as debt – a simple ledger exercise that the Bank of England owned up to in their Spring 2014 quarterly bulletin when they admitted:

*In the modern economy, most money takes the form of bank deposits. But how those bank deposits are created is often misunderstood: the principal way is through commercial banks making loans. Whenever a bank makes a loan, it simultaneously creates a matching deposit in the borrower's bank account, thereby creating new money.*


Our National Debt, as of the first quarter of 2018, stands at £1.78 trillion. To service just the interest on this huge amount of money - money that our nation was compelled to borrow but is based on absolutely nothing at all - the British taxpayers have to find around £48 billion a year, or, to put it another way, each household in Britain has to pay around £2,000 in taxes in order to finance the interest on these completely fraudulent loans. It is the economics of the lunatic asylum and it is our collective ignorance and stupidity that allows all this criminality by the private bankers to go unchallenged.

## CHAPTER THREE

*Unfortunately, this financial and economic madness gets worse...a lot worse!*

Here's a quote that comes from a book entitled '*Tragedy and Hope – A History of the World in our time*' by Professor Carroll Quigley that was published in 1966. Please read this extract very carefully and take in the enormous implications. He wrote:

*"The powers of financial capitalism had another far-reaching aim, nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements, arrived at in frequent private meetings and conferences. The apex of the system was the **Bank for International Settlements** in Basle, Switzerland, a private bank owned and controlled by the world's central banks which were themselves private corporations. The growth of financial capitalism made possible a centralization of world economic control and use of this power for the direct benefit of financiers and the indirect injury of all other economic groups."*



*Professor Carroll Quigley – a REAL historian!*

He's definitely not a household name but Carroll Quigley was a professor of history at Georgetown University and was the mentor of President Bill Clinton. He was also someone who was completely accepted and trusted by the leading financial 'insiders' and was allowed the rare privilege of attending some of their secretive meetings behind closed doors. However, his eventual exposure of their shadowy intrigues meant that his reputation suffered and his work became very difficult to publish.

This global financial elite or cartel (often referred to as the Deep State by many researchers) operates very much in the shadows through a network of secretive and so-called academic 'think-

tanks' such as the Bilderberg Group, the Committee of 300, the Royal Institute for International Affairs (Chatham House), the Council on Foreign Relations and the Trilateral Commission. Professor Quigley's naming and shaming of the very little known **Bank for International Settlements (BIS)** is completely correct when it comes to this 'centralization of world economic control' and it was Montagu Norman (the longest ever serving Governor of the Bank of England and by far the most controversial) who was instrumental behind the setting up of the BIS in 1930. It is interesting to note that Norman, with his great friend and German finance minister Hjalmar Schacht, also arranged and supported the initial funding of Hitler, not to mention the laundering of gold looted by the Nazis.



*Hjalmar Schacht (left) with his old friend and BIS collaborator Montagu Norman, and (right) doing his job as 'Hitler's banker'.*



*The Deep State personified – "The Evil Tower of Basle"*

Based in Basle, Switzerland, this Bank for International Settlements has seen fit to give itself high-level diplomatic immunity so that it can protect its activities from prying eyes. In fact, the BIS completely relies upon people not knowing much, if anything, about it, even about its very existence. Indeed, it's likely that ninety-nine per cent of the British people have no idea at all about what this bank actually does, including it has to be said the majority of our elected servants in Parliament. Nearly everyone has heard of the IMF, the OECD and the World Bank but the BIS prefers to do its job by keeping itself well below the radar of public consciousness.

Operating as a privately-controlled corporation, this very low-profile but strategically important global financial institution acts effectively as the central bank for sixty of the world's top and privately-run central banks, including the Bank of England, the Federal Reserve and the European Central Bank. By exercising no public accountability or transparency whatsoever, and by practising deception upon a truly massive scale, the BIS oversees in a private capacity over 95% of the world's money...and hardly anyone has ever even heard of it!

And to manage this incredible global fraud, around twelve of the most important central bank governors, including our own Mark Carney, meet in complete secrecy every six weeks or so in Basle. Here, with the discreet involvement and influence of the key banking dynasties (such as the Rothschild, Morgan, Oppenheim, Lehman, Warburg and Rockefeller families), these governors - and not any democratically elected and fully accountable representatives - effectively decide on the strategic liquidity to be allowed for the global economy. However, Professor Quigley did go on to make the following observation in his book *'Tragedy and Hope'*:

*"It must not be felt that these heads of the world's chief central banks were themselves substantive powers in world finance. They were not. Rather, they were the technicians and agents of the dominant investment bankers of their own countries, who had raised them up and were perfectly capable of throwing them down. The substantive financial powers of the world were in the hands of these investment bankers (also called 'international' or 'merchants' bankers) who renamed largely behind the scenes in their own unincorporated banks. These formed a system of international cooperation and national dominance which was more private, more powerful, and more secret than that of their agents in the central banks..."*

## CHAPTER FOUR

*So, what was Positive Money's response to all of this?*

Back in 2012, when I first heard about the Bradbury Pound and this 'big secret' that the world's financiers didn't want us all to know about, I rang the then new campaigning group *Positive Money* and talked with Ben Dyson, its founder and Head of Research. He hadn't heard of the 1914 Bradbury Pound but as soon as I mentioned the Bank for International Settlements he told me that he felt we were now entering into the realms of the conspiracy theorist and that this was not somewhere he would be taking his *Positive Money* campaign.

Ben went on to say he wanted to see created a sovereign money system which would involve the Bank of England, not HM Treasury, thus regaining the BoE's monopoly over money creation. Despite my repeated emails (all kept for future reference) telling him about how the privately-run Bank for International Settlements effectively controls the Bank of England, Ben always responded with what can only be described as very polite obfuscation. It's sad to see that *Positive Money* still continues to this day to ignore the simple and effective solution to poverty and austerity that is the 1914 Treasury-issued Bradbury Pound. Ben now works for our central bank, the Bank of England – it would appear that he's returned to his natural home!

And just to emphasise further *Positive Money's* unquestioning and quite mystifying love for these privately-run and BIS controlled central banks, this video has just appeared on Facebook...

<https://www.facebook.com/PositiveMoneyEurope/videos/257530458505634/>

Here we see a call by *Positive Money* for the central banks to 'helicopter' new money down to the people so as to boost the real economy – money that the central banks have conjured up completely out of thin air as debt. All very laudable it could be argued, but why isn't this campaigning group questioning the very existence of these privately-run and criminal central banks? Why aren't they championing the simple, tried and tested and common sense solution of money being created by HM Treasury that's debt-free and interest-free because it's based on our country's actual wealth? It makes absolutely no sense whatsoever! *Positive Money* must now either explain itself or throw its full weight behind the *New Chartist Movement's* call to end austerity through the immediate restoration of the 1914 Bradbury Pound.

## CHAPTER FIVE

### *Conspiracy? Yes! Theory? Most definitely Not!*

The truth is that for centuries now, we, the over-taxed British people, have been deliberately prevented from being able to exercise our absolute and sovereign right to be in complete control of our own debt-free and interest-free money in order to meet the essential needs and requirements of our country. Through our collective ignorance and stupidity, we have allowed the private financiers and merchant bankers within The City of London to emasculate our democratic process by embedding their poison, deception and greed amongst our politicians right across the political spectrum. Our political class no longer serves the people who elected them – they have been bought, just as academia and the mainstream media have. However, the truth is now at last mobilising!

And in case you apologists for this fraudulent and totally corrupt system of global finance think that this is all just a sad case of ‘conspiracy theorists’ running amok, let’s consider the unbelievable secrecy - not to mention the deliberate refusal by the mainstream media to report and challenge what is really going on - at these high-level elitist meetings/think tanks that clearly show that there is an unelected and unaccountable hidden tier of governance above our elected servants which is in a strategic position to ‘call the shots’. David Rockefeller, a long-time leading proponent for corporate global governance (sometimes referred to by the elite as ‘The New World Order’), said at the June 1991 Bilderberg meeting in Baden, Germany:

*“We are grateful to the Washington Post, the New York Times, Time Magazine and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years... It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subjected to the lights of publicity during those years. But, the world is more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national auto-determination practiced in past centuries.”*

The evidence is absolutely compelling that both the electorate and the majority of our elected servants are wading through a sea of complete ignorance when it comes to knowledge about how money is actually created and controlled, and by whom. In November 2014, a debate was held in the House of Commons entitled *Money Creation and Society*. It was hailed by *Positive Money* as a great success because they were instrumental in setting it up. However, out of 650 MPs only around twenty-five bothered to turn up, and those that did had very little understanding indeed of the subject. If we’re all honest with ourselves, this is actually quite alarming – it would appear that our elected servants in Parliament fall into two categories.

The overwhelming majority, it would appear, are just completely ignorant and arrogant when it comes to money creation and money supply, with many of our MPs believing it is not a part of their remit to investigate this subject. However, a very small minority, usually holding positions of power in their respective parties and who have attended these secretive high-level meetings of bodies such as the Bilderberg Group, are fully aware as to how a cabal of very powerful, debt-creating private bankers in the City of London have effectively seized, through unlawful means, the right to issue and control our nation's money.

And if you want to highlight this further, how many people in Britain today know that sitting alongside our MPs in the House of Commons is someone called Mr Paul Double. He is the current City Remembrancer. This individual, whose post was created way back in 1570, is unelected and unaccountable to the British electorate whilst serving as a lobbyist and protector for the 'ancient rights and traditions' of the City of London. He is there to steer our elected representatives away from getting too close to the real truth about money creation and money supply, not to mention the whole rotten global financial system that causes so much extreme misery in the world.



*Where's Alice and the Mad Hatter? Love the checkerboard flooring and backcloth, Mr Double, couldn't possibly be something to do with the Freemasons, could it?*

This article...

<https://fromtone.com/who-is-the-the-remembrancer/>

...describes very well the responsibilities of this unelected and unaccountable official of the City of London.

Now, to reinforce further what I've written about this appalling financial system that effects each and every one of us, here are two damning extracts from the book '**Economic Tribulations**' written by **Vincent C. Vickers**. This man was a leading industrialist as well as being a Deputy

Lieutenant of the City of London and a Director of the Bank of England from 1910 to 1919. In 1926, Vickers publicly declared his opposition to the policies of Montagu Norman (who had taken over from him as the Governor of the Bank of England in 1920), especially about his proposed Bank for International Settlements. Vickers finished writing his book just after the outbreak of the Second World War but unfortunately died only one month later in November 1939. In his book... and remember he was a Director of the Bank of England and 'in the know'... he wrote:

*"Although it is the money system which is to be accused of dishonesty, those who use and depend upon a dishonest system, knowing that system to be dishonest, cannot themselves be regarded as honest men. Moreover, it may be that the present system, which international finance has forced our democratic government to adopt, uphold, and protect by every possible means, has undermined the character of the people and forced them to alter their definition of the word honesty so that it may be made to comply more nearly with modern practice".*

*"The supply and issue of money and the creation of credit still remain almost entirely outside the control of the Government, and are still managed by Banking and Finance and by the Bank of England with its intimate associations with the Bank for International Settlements; whilst, until our actual declaration of war, Foreign Exchange speculators were permitted at all times to gamble with the nation's credit, untrammelled by any sense of patriotic duty and thinking only of their own profit... Until these financial Gangsters are permanently exterminated there can be no complete confidence in the economic welfare of the country".*



*Vincent Vickers, Director of the Bank of England 1910-19,  
and a man of far-sighted integrity*

In the second extract, in Chapter VII, which is entitled 'The Direction of Future Policy' Vickers lists his proposals which are not too dissimilar to what we need today. They are proposals that would certainly 'exterminate' the financial Gangsters!

*“In the question of what steps should be taken to put matters right, I can only suggest the general direction in which our future policy should point; for I myself do not believe that there exists any perfect cut-and-dried scheme which is likely hereafter to be adopted, lock, stock, and barrel, as our future monetary system. Moreover, there are many other technical and psychological considerations which would be necessary in order to achieve peace and contentment amongst the people. The main objectives however, should include:*

1. *State control and State issue of currency and credit through a central organisation managed and controlled by the State.*
2. *Stabilisation of the wholesale price level of commodities. That is to say, a fixed and constant internal purchasing power of money; so that a pound will buy to-morrow what it bought yesterday; an honest pound, not a fluctuating pound. And this can be done by so issuing and regulating the volume of available credit and currency that it shall at all times be adequate to permit of the purchasing power of the consumer being equated with the volume of production; not by limiting the purchasing power, but by firstly increasing purchasing power more in proportion to the productive capacity of industry.*
3. *Fixation of foreign exchanges by foreign exchange equalisation funds, and agreement with Empire countries and all other countries willing to fall into line; and, once this was accomplished, the removal or diminution of trade barriers which to-day protect the countries from the results of a bad monetary system.*
4. *Any additional supply of money should be issued as a clear asset to the State; so that money will be spent into existence, and not lent into existence.*
5. *The fluctuating quantity of gold lying in the vaults of the banking system should never be permitted to govern the volume of credit and currency needed by the country.*
6. *The elimination of slumps and booms; and more direct procedure for eliminating unnecessary poverty*
7. *The abolition of the Debt System where all credit is created by the banks and hired out at interest to the country.*
8. *Absolute State control over all foreign lending; and the adoption of the general principle that our foreign trade should be so conducted as to preserve*
  - a. *the interests of the Home Market,*
  - b. *the interests of the Empire countries and the English-speaking nations,*
  - c. *the interests of Foreign nations, and that this principle should particularly apply in the case of Home production and foodstuffs”.*

To back up and confirm what Vincent Vickers wrote in his book, let's look at this 1924 quote from Reginald McKenna when he addressed, as chairman of the board of the Midland Bank, his

stockholders. He said *"I am afraid that the ordinary citizen will not like to be told that the banks can and do create and destroy money. And they who control the credit of a nation direct the policy of governments, and hold in the hollow of their hands the destiny of the people."*

McKenna was also Chancellor of the Exchequer in 1915-16 so he clearly knew what he was talking about!

## CHAPTER SIX

*And now for the ultimate crime perpetrated by the bankers against humanity...*

However, there is one aspect to all of this contrived economic criminality that's going on which is well below the radar...namely, the appalling child trafficking and child abuse that is happening to an untold number of children at the hands of this evil mind-set that unlawfully controls the world's money. If the sceptics amongst you think that this is all just too far-fetched, then please watch former high-level Dutch banker, Ronald Bernard, and former Metropolitan Police detective, John Wedger when they gave evidence last year to the International Tribunal for Natural Justice (ITNJ).

<https://commission.itnj.org/2018/06/05/ronald-bernard-former-elite-banker/>

<https://commission.itnj.org/2018/06/05/john-wedger-police-whistleblower/>

This dreadful aspect to what's going on can no longer be ignored by those people who claim they are campaigning for a better and more just world! The whole monetary, banking and financial system is a monster that's hell-bent on achieving global corporate governance on completely Orwellian terms. Not only is it drowning the peoples of the world with fraudulent debt, but it is also using division and rivalry between competing nations to drive unsustainable economic activity which leaves our living planet increasingly damaged, scarred and exhausted.

But, worst of all, the evidence is now compelling that many of the senior operatives of this appalling system do take part in the ritual abuse of children on a scale never before imagined. If any campaigners for monetary and social reform believe that they can truly change things by just tinkering with such a system, then they will be in for a rude shock. The whole global financial system is rotten to the core and it all has to go lock, stock and barrel and campaigning organisations, right across the totally contrived and divisive political spectrum, must now wake up to their public duty and expose what's really going on. Luckily, we do have some highly-placed 'insiders' who agree with our findings and with our solution to restore the Bradbury Pound and who have quietly informed us that they are waiting for an effective grassroots campaign to begin so that they, too, can then make their move to do what they can to expose and defeat the criminal central bankers and the mind-set behind them.

## CHAPTER SEVEN

*Finally, we come to the crux of it all – so, what is the New Economics Foundation’s going to do now with this clear evidence?*

Everything that I’ve written about so far concerning money creation and money supply is evidence-based and just simple common sense. What the whole world is experiencing and suffering from is a completely planned systemic failure that’s beyond any sort of tinkering with. It is a system that’s been devised by criminals for criminals – how else do you explain the fact that 26 of the world richest people own the same wealth as the poorest half of humanity (Oxfam International report January 2019

<https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2019/01/oxfam-world-richest-26-wealth-poorest-190121054249908.html>



*The stark reality and extremes of our criminally insane global economic system – a system that the ‘green-inspired’ New Economics Foundation and Positive Money appear unable to challenge with the clear, simple and proven solution that would rid the world completely of such pictures*

When you arrive at the NEF’s current website, you are greeted by this slogan: TOGETHER WE CAN CHANGE THE RULES TO MAKE THE ECONOMY WORK FOR EVERYONE.

With the clear evidence that I’ve presented so far, don’t you feel that this statement is now somewhat hollow and meaningless? Don’t you think that all the victims of this unjust financial system, now dependent upon foodbanks and charity hand-outs just to get by, will not be too impressed when they see how the *New Economics Foundation* has apparently failed in its core mission to change or even address the rules, especially those key rules about money creation and money supply? I fed into the *NEF*’s website’s search engine all the following names - Bank for International Settlements, Bradbury Pound, Bilderberg Group, Vincent Vickers, Carroll Quigley, the City Remembrancer and Thomas Johnston - just to see if I had missed something and that

this campaigning organisation was actually aware of what's REALLY going on. The immediate response that came back for each of these names was - 'No articles found'!

So, the two questions we must now all ask ourselves are these – what is the *New Economics Foundation* for and where did it come from? I will answer the second question first.

I joined the Green Party, then called the Ecology Party, way back in 1978. I knew Jonathon Porritt, Paul Ekins, David Fleming and Sally Willington and supported their initiative that became *The Other Economic Summit (TOES)*. It was a time of very real concern about the great inequalities of global wealth between the rich 'North' and the poor 'South' as highlighted in *The Brundt Report* (1980) which made the headlines at the time. Also in 1980, *The World Conservation Strategy* was launched by the IUCN, WWF and UNEP which made the common-sense case to the world's governments that the Earth's fragile eco-systems must be protected at all costs and that unconstrained economic growth must not take precedence over the plight of the poorest peoples of the world and their most essential needs – fresh clean water, fertile soil, proper shelter and basic healthcare.

As an avid reader of E.F. Schumacher's *Small is Beautiful* and as someone who met Leopold Kohr on a couple of occasions, I am passionate about the need for human-scale economics. So, it was very easy indeed for me to be supportive of the aims of *TOES*. In 1984, I attended and helped out at *TOES 1* in central London which took place just around the corner from the *G7 Summit* which was being held at Lancaster House. The impression I got from all of the speakers at the summit was that we were allowing the 'Fat Cats' of 'Big Business' to undemocratically dictate terms to democratically elected governments and that the 'trickle down' theory of wealth was completely flawed. But nobody, I believe, talked about money creation and money supply and the whole privately-controlled central banking system. And certainly, nobody talked about the Bilderberg Group or the evidence that there is this hidden tier of governance above our elected servants in Parliament which dictates what's going on by unlawfully controlling the world's money.

In 1986, the creators of *TOES* then went on to create the *New Economics Foundation*. For thirty-three years, this 'public policy think-tank' has been analysing the City of London, the banking system and government economic policies with a view to just tinkering them. The organisation has grown considerably over the years and today employs around forty people and has an annual turnover of around £3.5 million. Rather than criticise further the apparent lacklustre performance of this organisation, I would urge readers just to look at these *NEF* links and decide for yourselves, in the light of the evidence that I have presented, whether or not you think that the *New Economics Foundation* is actually fit for purpose in exposing and bringing down the global financial criminality that is affecting each and every one of us.

<https://neweconomics.org/search/issue/banking-and-finance>

<https://neweconomics.org/uploads/files/annualreport18.pdf>

The simple truth of the matter is this: Real Greens cannot in any way support or take part in a debt-based economy with a debt-based monetary system. Real Greens recognise that the current and criminal debt-based global economy is creating untold misery for billions of people whilst destroying the very life-support systems that we all depend upon! And Real Greens recognise that unlawful debt and a deliberately planned shortage of money both create truly appalling levels of stress, ill health and the break-up of loving families with all the associated damage that does to innocent children. We could all be living in a world that is an ecological paradise of peace, justice and prosperity for all. Instead, we're increasingly living in a deliberately impoverished and seriously damaged - pardon my French - '*trou de merde*' courtesy of allowing a handful of elitist psychopaths to create, issue and control the world's money as debt. Come on people everywhere - wake up and smell the coffee of reality and certainty!!!!

## CHAPTER EIGHT

*Conclusion – something is clearly very wrong!  
The Fake Greens would appear to be in charge!*

So, what are we to make of all of this? The **British Constitution Group**, along with its campaigning wing, the **New Chartist Movement**, spent in 2018 around £10,000 with no salaried staff whatsoever. In that time, we have created the **Seven Requirements** (actual proven solutions) to take down this globalist Deep State along with its agenda to create an Orwellian future for each and every one of us. And all of our money has come from ordinary people who simply recognise the extreme urgency of the situation.

By contrast, the *New Economics Foundation* spent around £3,500,000 with forty salaried staff. And in that time, they have merely made recommendations to tinker with an utterly corrupt and totally rotten banking and financial system that any sane individual knows has to go lock, stock and barrel if the peoples of the world are to enjoy true sovereignty, prosperity and happiness. And to cap it all, the *NEF's* money has largely come from very large and clearly agenda-driven Trusts, including one which was set up by the extremely controversial globalist, George Soros (*Open Society Institute* £90,425).

**Both the *New Economics Foundation* and *Positive Money* now need to address fully the evidence in this open challenge and to justify, if they can, their current objectives, strategies and use of donated monies. Had the *New Chartist Movement* been able to spend £3 million in the last twelve months on their campaign to get the proven truth out, there would have been absolutely no need at all to write this challenge...the people would be waking up in the numbers needed and the globalists' encroaching Deep State would now be in disarray and in full retreat with many of its leaders having already been arrested and charged with treason, malfeasance in public office, high-level fraud and, worst of all, for the murder, the trafficking and the appalling abuse of children.**

I await now... hopefully... a positive response from the *NEF* and *Positive Money* so that we can all start to begin to work together to fully expose the complete debt-creating scam that is money creation and money supply by the private financial sector and the central banking system, and so stop in its tracks this corporate tyranny that's so clearly coming down the tracks for each and every one of us.

Justin Walker, March 2019

[jrgwalker@aol.com](mailto:jrgwalker@aol.com)

[www.newchartistmovement.org.uk](http://www.newchartistmovement.org.uk)

<https://www.britishconstitutiongroup.com>

The New Chartist Movement's **Six Requirements:**

[https://cdn-cms.f-static.com/uploads/727136/normal\\_5c2e8cbebf94.pdf](https://cdn-cms.f-static.com/uploads/727136/normal_5c2e8cbebf94.pdf)

## A SAD BUT INEVITABLE POSTSCRIPT TO THIS CHALLENGE:

On Monday 4<sup>th</sup> March 2019, the following email was sent to Alfie Stirling, the Head of Economics at the New Economics Foundation. A similar and personally addressed email letter was also sent to all the other thirty plus employees of the NEF

From [jrgwalker@aol.com](mailto:jrgwalker@aol.com)  
To [alfie.stirling@neweconomics.org](mailto:alfie.stirling@neweconomics.org)

Dear Alfie,

*Please find attached an open but respectful challenge to yourselves by the New Chartist Movement.*

*We are a new and growing people's movement, linear and leaderless by nature, which has proven solutions to address and reverse the encroaching corporate and financial 'Deep State' tyranny which is advancing towards all of us at an ever-increasing rate.*

*We look forward to working and having a meaningful relationship with yourselves to get this deliberately suppressed truth out about money creation and money supply.*

*With all best wishes,*

*Justin Walker (author)*

*Supported by:*

*Eddy Alder, Brian Gerrish, Sukh Singh Gidda,*

*Michelle Young, William Keyte, John Kitson, David Pidcock, Tom Crawford*

*Joint Campaign Coordinators for the New Chartist Movement*

*[www.newchartistmovement.org.uk](http://www.newchartistmovement.org.uk)*

After a week, no responses from anyone at the NEF had been received. So, this email was then sent to Alfie:

**From:** [jrgwalker@aol.com](mailto:jrgwalker@aol.com) <[jrgwalker@aol.com](mailto:jrgwalker@aol.com)>  
**Sent:** 13 March 2019 23:15

**To:** Alfie Stirling <[Alfie.Stirling@neweconomics.org](mailto:Alfie.Stirling@neweconomics.org)>

**Subject:** Fwd: The proven truth about money creation and money supply.

Dear Alfie,

Over a week ago, on behalf of the New Chartist Movement, I sent you all at the New Economics Foundation the email below. This email, along with its attached document, addressed the deliberately suppressed facts concerning money creation and money supply. To date, no response at all from anyone has been received. Apart from the obvious rudeness, this is very troubling indeed.

We realise that the original email could have been interpreted by yourselves as being critical of the New Economics Foundation. We did not set out with that intention but the fact remains that we have been given conclusive evidence by 'insiders' and much-respected researchers that there is appalling deception, entrapment and outright criminality being carried out by our City of London-serving politicians right across the completely contrived political spectrum when it comes to the issues of money creation and money supply.

It concerns us greatly that no aspect at all of what we've had disclosed to us by credible sources is being covered or researched by yourselves. You are supposed to be at the cutting edge of bringing about economic social justice and fairness whilst fully embracing green and sustainable economics. Please let me remind you again of the headline on your website:

**TOGETHER WE CAN CHANGE THE RULES TO MAKE THE ECONOMY WORK FOR EVERYONE**

The world's financial system is totally corrupt and criminal and a few changes in the rules that tinker with this system are not going to make any difference at all. The whole system is rotten to the core and common sense says that it has to go lock, stock and barrel.

If you believe we are wrong, then please tell us why. If you persist in ignoring us, apart from the obvious rudeness that this displays, it would suggest that we are making you feel extremely uncomfortable and that you are hoping that your inaction and refusal to engage with us will mean that we will just go away and leave you alone. Do you really believe that that is going to happen? The truth

*genie is out of the bottle and people in ever-increasing numbers are waking up to what's really going on.*

*We now look forward to a meaningful response from you and a chance to campaign with you to get this truth out properly to the British people.*

*With kind regards,*

*Justin Walker*

After a further eight days, this short reply (and complete dismissal of all the points raised in this detailed challenge) was received from Alfie Stirling.

*-----Original Message-----*

*From: Alfie Stirling <[Alfie.Stirling@neweconomics.org](mailto:Alfie.Stirling@neweconomics.org)>*

*To: [jrgwalker@aol.com](mailto:jrgwalker@aol.com) <[jrgwalker@aol.com](mailto:jrgwalker@aol.com)>*

*CC: Jonathan Bell <[Jonathan.Bell@neweconomics.org](mailto:Jonathan.Bell@neweconomics.org)>*

*Sent: Thu, 21 Mar 2019 9:21*

*Subject: RE: The proven truth about money creation and money supply.*

*Dear Justin,*

*Many thanks for your email, and apologies for the delay in responding. No rudeness is intended by a non-reply. We receive a lot of unsolicited emails on a wide range of subjects. Blanket emails, addressed to most people in the organisation, are particularly unlikely to receive a substantive response.*

*I have studied the document you sent over. However, I do not find the arguments made to be convincing, either in terms of economic theory or in terms of their interpretation of the available evidence. As an independent charity, our work is to undertake research for ourselves and reach our own conclusions. In this field, as in all others areas of our work, this is what we do. We of course take an interest in views developed by other organisations. Nevertheless, we are not open to being pressured by interest groups (of any persuasion) in adopting their own views, reached through their own research.*

*Kind regards,*

*Alfie*

Obviously, his carefully worded dismissal was designed to simply fob off our concerns and findings in the hope that we would simply go away and not bother him again. In fact, his response was very similar to the ones we receive from MPs when alerting them to the

existence and the hidden history of the Bradbury Pound. So, not letting him or the NEF off the hook, I sent this on the same day as his email:

From: jrgwalker <[jrgwalker@aol.com](mailto:jrgwalker@aol.com)>  
To: Alfie.Stirling <[Alfie.Stirling@neweconomics.org](mailto:Alfie.Stirling@neweconomics.org)>  
CC: Jonathan.Bell <[Jonathan.Bell@neweconomics.org](mailto:Jonathan.Bell@neweconomics.org)>; miatta.fahnbulleh <[miatta.fahnbulleh@neweconomics.org](mailto:miatta.fahnbulleh@neweconomics.org)>  
Sent: Thu, 21 Mar 2019 20:08  
Subject: Re: The proven truth about money creation and money supply.

Dear Alfie,

*I see you stood in Lewes for the Green Party - a party I gave fifteen years of my life to. You don't know how sad it makes me to see how the Green Party and the Greens have turned their backs on the essential values we started out with. And one of those essential values (and please remember the New Zealand Green Party started out being called the Values Party) was to ALWAYS go where the truth took us.*

*And reading your very short and dismissive response, it also made me very sad to think that I've been treated by you in this way when you were probably not even born when I attended the first-ever The Other Economic Summit in London in 1984. I've been involved at the sharp end of researching and getting the truth out about banking and the hidden tier of corporate governance that pulls the strings of our politicians for well over thirty-five years. I know people talk about the 'arrogance of youth' but I know that I never behaved like that when someone approached me in an unsolicited way with interesting information that I didn't know...and I'm absolutely certain that you didn't know a lot of what I sent you.*

*So, with this in mind, would you now please extend to me the simple courtesy of explaining in more detail your comment here "However, I do not find the arguments made to be convincing, either in terms of economic theory or in terms of their interpretation of the available evidence.". Why have you so simply dismissed the 1914 Bradbury Pound and Early Day Motion 748 (2013)? Why have you ignored the wisdom of Vincent Vickers and Thomas Johnston MP? And why aren't you concerned about what Professor Carroll Quigley had to say about the Bank for International Settlements?*

*As I say, please come back to me in a much more detailed way - if you don't, what are we supposed to think about the New Economics Foundation and what it's trying to achieve if it turns away crucial evidence that will transform people's lives for the better?*

With kind regards,

Justin

No response to this email was forthcoming, so I waited another two weeks before sending this:

From: jrgwalker <[jrgwalker@aol.com](mailto:jrgwalker@aol.com)>  
To: Alfie.Stirling <[Alfie.Stirling@neweconomics.org](mailto:Alfie.Stirling@neweconomics.org)>  
CC: Jonathan.Bell <[Jonathan.Bell@neweconomics.org](mailto:Jonathan.Bell@neweconomics.org)>; miatta.fahnbulleh <[miatta.fahnbulleh@neweconomics.org](mailto:miatta.fahnbulleh@neweconomics.org)>  
Sent: Thu, 11 Apr 2019 9:31  
Subject: Re: The proven truth about money creation and money supply.

Dear Alfie,

*It's been another two weeks and still no detailed reply from yourself addressing our very real concerns about the New Economics Foundation (NEF). We're now compelled to ask the question...why? Why can't you come back to us with more details and substance to your email of Thursday 21 March, especially when our findings are based on solid historical evidence and the subject matter itself is so crucial to all the peoples of the world?*

*If you are now going to respond by saying, "Sorry, but your emails were unsolicited in the first place and I'm now far too busy doing other things", then the thinking public reading these emails (and, yes, we will be making them public) will simply be appalled by such an unhelpful and filibustering response. They will think, as we are increasingly thinking, that you are unable to respond. And why is this?*

*It's because you are embarrassingly, and we would like to think accidentally, embedded into the criminal financial system that we are now exposing with the proven truth about money creation and money supply. To give but one example, in the last financial year you received £90,425 from the Open Society Institute - an organisation created and funded by George Soros, the controversial globalist who has dedicated his working life to bringing about some form of elitist world government run solely by the secretive, unelected and unaccountable corporate financial sector.*

*We can but hope, but maybe...just maybe...you are starting to realise that the NEF has unwittingly become a part of this corporate criminal agenda that's designed to deliberately distress and undermine the effectiveness of sovereign nations whilst pretending to be concerned about global green issues, some of which have been completely manufactured or distorted to shape this Orwellian agenda (UN Agenda 21/30) for global action to put the peoples of the world 'in their place'. And please remember, I write this as someone who gave fifteen years of his life to helping get the Green Party off the ground and who is still passionate and dedicated to real environmental concerns such as the deliberate and, it has*

to be said, criminal roll out of 5G which is reportedly harming and killing bird and insect life, not to mention human-beings.

Alfie, for fear of repeating myself, the bottom line is this. Why, as free and sovereign human-beings, do we tolerate and allow our politicians to collude with a privately-run and debt-creating central banking system that is unelected, unaccountable and which results in a tiny handful of secretive billionaire/trillionaire sociopaths to be in a position to effectively control how much or, more accurately, how little money the world has to spend?

Please will you either now come back to me with a detailed defence of your present stance...or with a change of mind that will lead to us all working harmoniously together against this criminal system of corporate and financial control.

With kind regards and on behalf of the New Chartist Movement,

Justin

Sadly, but not surprising, no response to this final appeal for a detailed answer from the NEF was ever received. You will notice that in this email exchange, I had cc'd Miatta Fahnbulleh, the CEO of the New Economics Foundation. On Tuesday, 30<sup>th</sup> April 2019, I received a begging letter from her which read as follows:

Dear Jrgwalker,

The rules that shape our economy **have been written to work for those with wealth and power**. They are delivering climate collapse, stagnating wages, insecure homes and rising inequality.

Support for the broken economic system is crumbling. It can feel hopeless and frightening, as some seek the reassurance of the simple answers of authoritarianism, nationalism and intolerance. **But this is also a chance to rewrite the rules of the economy so it works for everyone, not just those with the wealth to buy influence.**

People are building a new economy right now in the places they live and work. **NEF is working with inspirational groups** to shift wealth and power to people and their communities: from Derbyshire villagers fighting plans to frack their countryside, to Deptford families coming together to set up affordable childcare, to renters across the country uniting to fight for secure homes – and winning an end to no-fault evictions. But we also know that the positive impact of these groups will be limited while we live under an outdated set of economic rules and institutions. **At NEF, we combine supporting**

**people igniting change from below with policies, research and influence to change the rules of the game.**

For more than 30 years, we have developed **radical, progressive ideas that go beyond tinkering at the edges**. From the Jubilee debt cancellation campaign, to the original Green New Deal report, to the four-day week, we have been at the heart of the key ideas and movements that have transformed lives and can rewrite the future.

Throughout this time, **we have been supported through the generosity of thousands of people who believe in a better future** and want to make it happen. While other think tanks are funded by wealthy individuals and corporations, ordinary people have come together by supporting NEF. Their regular donations underpin all of our work, giving NEF the security to commit to working with communities long enough to achieve fundamental change, but also the flexibility to react to new problems when they arise.

This is a vital moment. In the midst of the climate emergency and growing anger at the status quo, **we cannot afford to let the rules of the new economy be written by a privileged few and by destructive corporations.**

[\*\*So, we are asking you to join our network of supporters.\*\*](#) Each and every new supporter makes our collective voice stronger. With a donation of just £5 a month, you can help to

- **Support groups taking the steps to transform their lives in the here and now.**
- **Create rigorous and credible policies that fix our broken economic system**, for example how the government can fund a Green New Deal in the UK.
- **Win high-impact campaigns** that shift public debate by supporting people to tell their stories both in the media and directly to politicians.

These are uncertain times. But there is hope too, from the courage and passion of schoolchildren taking to the streets to demand climate action to the talent and energy of emerging leaders like Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez. **A monthly donation to NEF will help translate inspirational action into a viable alternative.** Together, we can build new institutions, new ideas, new rules – for an economy that works for everyone.

Thank you for your support

**Miatta Fahnbulleh**

CEO, New Economics Foundation

In a final and last-ditch attempt to open dialogue, I sent this email to everyone I could find who were working for the New Economics Foundation:

-----Original Message-----

From: jrgwalker <[jrgwalker@aol.com](mailto:jrgwalker@aol.com)>

To: miatta.fahnbulleh <[miatta.fahnbulleh@neweconomics.org](mailto:miatta.fahnbulleh@neweconomics.org)>

CC: alfie.stirling <[alfie.stirling@neweconomics.org](mailto:alfie.stirling@neweconomics.org)>; andrew.pendleton

<[andrew.pendleton@neweconomics.org](mailto:andrew.pendleton@neweconomics.org)>; Anna.coote

<[Anna.coote@neweconomics.org](mailto:Anna.coote@neweconomics.org)>; frances.northrop

<[frances.northrop@neweconomics.org](mailto:frances.northrop@neweconomics.org)>; jonathan.bell

<[jonathan.bell@neweconomics.org](mailto:jonathan.bell@neweconomics.org)>; rachel.laurence

<[rachel.laurence@neweconomics.org](mailto:rachel.laurence@neweconomics.org)>; stefan.baskerville

<[stefan.baskerville@neweconomics.org](mailto:stefan.baskerville@neweconomics.org)>; alice.martin

<[alice.martin@neweconomics.org](mailto:alice.martin@neweconomics.org)>; ashis.tajhya <[ashis.tajhya@neweconomics.org](mailto:ashis.tajhya@neweconomics.org)>;

charleen.french <[charleen.french@neweconomics.org](mailto:charleen.french@neweconomics.org)>; clifford.singer

<[clifford.singer@neweconomics.org](mailto:clifford.singer@neweconomics.org)>; david.powell

<[david.powell@neweconomics.org](mailto:david.powell@neweconomics.org)>; joe.beswick <[joe.beswick@neweconomics.org](mailto:joe.beswick@neweconomics.org)>;

lucie.stephens

<[lucie.stephens@neweconomics.org](mailto:lucie.stephens@neweconomics.org)>; sam.read <[sam.read@neweconomics.org](mailto:sam.read@neweconomics.org)>;

Sarah.arnold <[Sarah.arnold@neweconomist.org](mailto:Sarah.arnold@neweconomist.org)>; sofie.jenkinson

<[sofie.jenkinson@neweconomics.org](mailto:sofie.jenkinson@neweconomics.org)>; adrian.bua <[adrian.bua@neweconomics.org](mailto:adrian.bua@neweconomics.org)>;

Aidan.harper <[Aidan.harper@neweconomics.org](mailto:Aidan.harper@neweconomics.org)>; Andrew.clery

<[Andrew.clery@neweconomics.org](mailto:Andrew.clery@neweconomics.org)>; Annie.quick <[Annie.quick@neweconomics.org](mailto:Annie.quick@neweconomics.org)>;

Chris.williams <[Chris.williams@neweconomics.org](mailto:Chris.williams@neweconomics.org)>; christiane.heisse

<[christiane.heisse@neweconomics.org](mailto:christiane.heisse@neweconomics.org)>; Daniel.button

<[Daniel.button@neweconomics.org](mailto:Daniel.button@neweconomics.org)>; duncan.mccann

<[duncan.mccann@neweconomics.org](mailto:duncan.mccann@neweconomics.org)>; Emily.scurrah

<[Emily.scurrah@neweconomics.org](mailto:Emily.scurrah@neweconomics.org)>; fergal.odwyer

<[fergal.odwyer@neweconomics.org](mailto:fergal.odwyer@neweconomics.org)>; Fernanda.belata

<[Fernanda.belata@neweconomics.org](mailto:Fernanda.belata@neweconomics.org)>; frank.vanlerven

<[frank.vanlerven@neweconomics.org](mailto:frank.vanlerven@neweconomics.org)>; griffin.carpenter

<[griffin.carpenter@neweconomics.org](mailto:griffin.carpenter@neweconomics.org)>; hanna.wheatley

<[hanna.wheatley@neweconomics.org](mailto:hanna.wheatley@neweconomics.org)>; heather.kennedy

<[heather.kennedy@neweconomics.org](mailto:heather.kennedy@neweconomics.org)>; ioana.sirca-bellintan <[\[bellintan@neweconomics.org\]\(mailto:bellintan@neweconomics.org\)>; krisztina.hay <\[krisztina.hay@neweconomics.org\]\(mailto:krisztina.hay@neweconomics.org\)>;](mailto:ioana.sirca-</a></p></div><div data-bbox=)

Margaret.gardner <[Margaret.gardner@neweconomics.org](mailto:Margaret.gardner@neweconomics.org)>; margit.guenther

<[margit.guenther@neweconomics.org](mailto:margit.guenther@neweconomics.org)>; Rebecca.winson

<[Rebecca.winson@neweconomics.org](mailto:Rebecca.winson@neweconomics.org)>; Sarah.arnold

<[Sarah.arnold@neweconomist.org](mailto:Sarah.arnold@neweconomist.org)>; ciaran.driver

<[ciarán.driver@neweconomist.org](mailto:ciarán.driver@neweconomist.org)>; Fiona.weir <[Fiona.weir@neweconomics.org](mailto:Fiona.weir@neweconomics.org)>;

lan.christie <[lan.christie@neweconomics.org](mailto:lan.christie@neweconomics.org)>; Jeremy.till

<[Jeremy.till@neweconomics.org](mailto:Jeremy.till@neweconomics.org)>; i.christie <[i.christie@surrey.ac.uk](mailto:i.christie@surrey.ac.uk)>; keren.jones

<[keren.jones@neweconomics.org](mailto:keren.jones@neweconomics.org)>; Margaret.gardner

<[Margaret.gardner@neweconomics.org](mailto:Margaret.gardner@neweconomics.org)>; tess.gill <[tess.gill@neweconomics.org](mailto:tess.gill@neweconomics.org)>

Sent: Mon, 6 May 2019 8:20

Subject: The credibility and integrity of the NEF is now at risk.

Dear Miatta,

I have read very carefully what you have written below in your 'New Rules' email to recruit more supporters and I have to say, as a political green since 1978, I'm filled with a combination of very real sadness and total exasperation.

I sent you an email on Monday 4 March (please scroll right down to the bottom) and I know you were copied in with my attempted correspondence with your Head of Economics, Alfie Stirling. You will also probably know of his outright refusal to properly answer my perfectly reasonable and politely-put detailed concerns about the New Economics Foundation (NEF) - an organisation that you have responsibility for as the CEO.

This reluctance (and not to say, rudeness) to give any sort of detailed reply would appear to confirm our very real concerns that we now have about the direction and integrity of the NEF. I'm sorry, but this refusal to enter into any sort of meaningful dialogue with us is completely unacceptable and common sense, not to mention common decency, now dictates that you must take proper ownership and responsibility for this lack of response if the good name and reputation of the NEF is not to suffer drastically as we make public all these emails and our attempts to have proper answers from yourselves to our concerns.

To refresh your memory, my letters to Alfie, along with his one brief and unhelpful response, are at the bottom of this email. I would humbly but strongly suggest that you have a thorough look again at our informed and evidence-backed concerns which you will find attached to this email and here on-line: [https://cdn-cms.f-static.com/uploads/727136/normal\\_5c7cdeb22bcd6.pdf](https://cdn-cms.f-static.com/uploads/727136/normal_5c7cdeb22bcd6.pdf).

We know, beyond all reasonable doubt, that today's green and environmental movement has, to a very large extent, been hijacked by the criminal and corporate 'Deep State' globalists. This means, in effect, the greens are now part of a terrible scam and sham to deceive and frighten the peoples of the world with completely false and inaccurate information. It is clear to us that you are (unwittingly?) doing their bidding. All we are asking is for you to allay our very real concerns by giving us a detailed response to the attached article and to enter into a meaningful dialogue with us so that the truth can finally come out about these most crucial of issues, namely money creation and money supply and how the ordinary people can be empowered to take back full control of all fiscal matters from the criminal debt-creating central bankers. **The current global financial system is rotten to the core and any amount of tinkering with it will achieve absolutely nothing - it has to go lock, stock and barrel if the peoples of the world are to be freed from corporate enslavement and outright Orwellian fascism.**

The truth is that we are being fed nothing but provable lies right across the board. In your recruiting plea below for new supporters, you wrote "The rules that shape our economy have been written to work for those with wealth and power. They are delivering climate collapse, stagnating wages, insecure homes and rising inequality". Climate collapse? In recent weeks we have seen the emergence of Extinction Rebellion onto our streets concerned about this so-called "climate collapse" and it might interest you to know that this video has been done by one of our campaign team which clearly calls into question the very credibility and integrity of this new campaigning organisation [https://www.youtube.com/watch?time\\_continue=2&v=536qN22jxak](https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=2&v=536qN22jxak).

Indeed, the evidence is compelling that Man-made Global Warming or Anthropogenic Warming is a complete and utter scam started by the corporate 'Deep State' globalists. I certainly know this to be true! Back in 1982, long before most of you were even born, I had lunch in London with Aurelio Peccei, the co-founder of the Club of Rome. When offering me a job to become one of his political researchers, he said "you will be joining us at a very exciting time - **"We are creating** [my emphasis] a huge global environmental problem that will frighten people into wanting a World Government run by us." Just ask Jeremy Corbyn's brother, Piers Corbyn, the climatologist, and he will confirm that the science we are being told that's causing 'climate change' is just utter bunkum. And what about poor old Professor David Bellamy, remember him? A REAL Green! Some years ago, I was at his home and I remember him saying "it's all bad science, you know Justin, bad science!" All we are seeing...and unfortunately you are contributing to this...is 'Project Fear' and your claims about man-made climate collapse cannot be backed up by any sort of true or real science.

But to return back to our very real concerns about the NEF, as our initial approach to you was made two months ago, I would now be grateful if you can let me have your detailed response by May 10th, this coming Friday, to allay our concerns - if nothing is received by then, we will very reluctantly have to publish all these emails so that people can make up their own minds as to what is going on within today's green movement. It really is now a case of the 'Real Greens' of the people versus the 'Fake Greens' of the corporate globalists and, as someone who helped out at the first ever The Other Economic Summit way back in 1984, I earnestly do hope that the New Economics Foundation is definitely part of the former.

I now look forward to your detailed response,

With kind regards,

Justin Walker on behalf of the New Chartist Movement Campaign Team.

[www.newchartistmovement.org.uk](http://www.newchartistmovement.org.uk)

Obviously, there was no reply from Miatta, or indeed anyone at the *NEF*. So, it is with very real regret, that we must now apply common sense here and conclude that the *New Economics Foundation* is happily working for, and campaigning with, the Fake Greens of the Deep State. All very sad.

And this same lack of response happened when *Positive Money* was sent similar emails. They were sent repeatedly to Simon Youel, Rachel Oliver, Fran Boait and Paul Fran but, again, no replies apart from the usual acknowledgements of receipt of emails. So, again, we must apply common sense and that dictates that we must now conclude that *Positive Money*, as a monetary reform organisation, is also working for the same Deep State system of total Orwellian control and, therefore, must be classed as a Fake Green campaigning group.

So, the conclusion is simple - both the *New Economics Foundation* and *Positive Money* have absolutely no interest at all in the actual truth about money creation and money supply. They prefer, instead, to promote the economics that will continue to lead towards increasing global debt, poverty and enslavement! What a betrayal of the peoples of the world!

Justin Walker July 2019