## **Arthur D Little**

# How can leadership understand and influence safety culture?

## II March 2018



Presentation by Stephen Watson



Research on safety leadership has consistently shown five areas that make a difference

- Commitment to safety reduces risk-taking behaviours and violations and increases learning from safety events
- Safety policies and procedures are enforced and consistently implemented reduces under-reporting of incidents and generates higher levels of satisfaction with the organisation
- Support for safety and openness to safety suggestions increases employee willingness to raise safety issues and long-term improvement in safe working practices
- Safety communication between management and the workforce reduces risk-taking behaviours, and promotes positive safety behaviours
- Active involvement in safety (leading by example, motivating staff to work safely) improves safety culture and increases employee responsibility for safety

"In the absence of leadership, safety management systems will fail"

Professor Andrew Hopkins, Australian National University





Safety responsibilities of senior management are usually described in safety management systems

These often include:

- Safety leadership
- Ensuring compliance with the safety management system
- Setting strategic safety direction
- Setting safety objectives
- Monitoring safety performance
- Reviewing effectiveness of safety management system operation
- Communication





## Guidance is available on the role of senior management

## Leading Health and Safety at Work



## Institute of Directors/Health and Safety Commission Guidance

- In November 2007, the Institute of Directors in conjunction with the Health and Safety Commission published this guidance
- The guidance is addressed to directors (and their equivalents) of corporate bodies and of organisations in the public sector
- Following the guidance is not in itself obligatory
- However:
  - If you do follow the guidance you will normally be doing enough to help your organisation meet its legal obligations
  - If you do not follow it the HSE may consider this as additional input into a decision about a potential prosecution

The essential principles and four actions points are given in the following slides



The Institute of Directors in its guidance document 'Leading health and safety at work' have proposed the following three essential principles

- Strong and active leadership from the top
  - Visible, active commitment from the board
  - Establishing effective 'downward' communication systems and management structures
  - Integration of good health and safety management with business decisions
- Worker involvement
  - Engaging the workforce in the promotion and achievement of safe and healthy conditions
  - Effective 'upward' communication
  - Providing high quality training
- Assessment and review
  - Identifying and managing health and safety risks
  - Accessing (and following) competent advice
  - Monitoring, reporting and reviewing performance



The Institute of Directors in its guidance document 'Leading health and safety at work' have proposed the following four point agenda:







## Could you rate your organisation against the Institute of Directors' checklist?

	IoD Checklist	Good and being delivered	Plan in progress	Poor or no action
Plan	I. How do you demonstrate the board's commitment to health and safety?			
Do	2. What have you done to ensure your organisation, at all levels including the board, receives competent health and safety advice?			
	3. How are you ensuring all staff – including the board – are sufficiently trained and competent in their health and safety responsibilities?			
	4. How confident are you that your workforce, particularly safety representatives, are consulted properly on health and safety matters, and that their concerns are reaching the appropriate level including, as necessary, the board?			
	5. What systems are in place to ensure your organisation's risks are assessed, and that sensible control measures are established and maintained?			
Check	6. How well do you know what is happening on the ground, and what audits or assessments are undertaken to inform you about what your organisation and contractors actually do?			
	7. What information does the board receive regularly about health and safety, e.g. performance data and reports on injuries and work-related ill health?			
	8. Do you compare your performance with others in your sector or beyond?			
	9. Where changes in working arrangements have significant implications for health and safety, how are these brought to the attention of the board?			
Act	10. What do you do to ensure appropriate board-level review of health and safety?			



## When completed this can provide valuable information on the safety culture of the organisation

loD checklist	Assessment	Comments
1. How do you demonstrate the board's commitment to health and safety?		Board appear committed to health and safety, but this is not being clearly communicated throughout company
2. What do you do to ensure appropriate board-level review of health and safety?		Whilst the Board regularly consider reactive performance data we have found no evidence of board-level <i>review</i>
3. What have you done to ensure your organisation, at all levels including the board, receives competent health and safety advice?		Board is provided with operational performance information, provision of strategic H&S advice is weak
4. How are you ensuring all staff – including the board – are sufficiently trained and competent in their health and safety responsibilities?		All operational staff receive health and safety training on specific topics, but there are concerns regarding its effectiveness. Safety leadership and management training needs to be updated
5. How confident are you that your workforce, particularly safety representatives, are consulted properly on health and safety matters, and that their concerns are reaching the appropriate level including, as necessary, the board?		Problems are reported with employee consultation – the process is in place but feedback is often delayed or absent, reducing confidence and on-going engagement
6. What systems are in place to ensure your organisation's risks are assessed, and that sensible control measures are established and maintained?		Risk assessments are maintained, but have limited impact on effectiveness of control measures through activity planning and procedures
7. How well do you know what is happening on the ground, and what audits or assessments are undertaken to inform you about what your organisation and contractors actually do?		Management system audits scheduled and completed on time. Directors routinely visit operational locations
8. What information does the board receive regularly about health and safety, e.g. performance data and reports on injuries and work-related ill health?		Reactive H&S data considered by Board – lack of proactive H&S data and strategic interpretation
9. What targets have you set to improve health and safety and do you benchmark your performance against others in your sector or beyond?		No evidence of health and safety targets or benchmarking
10. Where changes in working arrangements have significant implications for health and safety, how are these brought to the attention of the board?		No evidence of systematic process to bring changes to board – this occurs on an ad-hoc basis



## LEADERSHIP is undoubtedly THE MOST IMPORTANT ELEMENT in influencing safety culture







The impact that leaders have on their organisation comes from four sets of behaviours that together create a 'leadership shadow'





Classical research on those that "manage" shows six typical activities







## ... while it identified six different activities that leaders do







You are Directors and Managers, but most importantly you are leaders of safety....

## Directors & Managers

#### Leaders





## What do you do to provide leadership of safety?





Research into leadership has identified six distinct approaches – called styles



Source: The New Leaders - Transforming the act of leadership into the science of results, Daniel Goleman, 2002





**Arthur D Little** 

Research has demonstrated that leaders in organisations that are "built to last" are able to use a range of leadership styles





## Safety tours are one of the most commonly used tools for leaders to demonstrate their leadership and commitment



- On the day of the Gulf of Mexico explosion (often called the Macondo blowout) which killed 11 workers and led to the spill of almost 5 million barrels of crude oil a group of senior executives from BP and Transocean had helicoptered onto the rig to conduct a safety tour described as a "management visibility tour"
- The senior executives (described by those on the rig as VIPs) were visiting to congratulate the rig on seven years without a lost time injury and their activities focused on:
  - Transferring safety lessons including the installation of non-slip materials
  - Checking the safety of the harnesses used for working at height
  - Enquiring about the employees' understanding of safety culture
- After the event commentators have identified missed opportunities to prevent the accident particularly during the visit to the Control Room
- These leaders set out with the right intention but ended up focusing on occupational safety and missed the essential system/process safety issues

How can you and your colleagues avoid your safety tours falling into the same trap?



## There are a few common Do's and Don'ts that can help improve the effectiveness of safety tours

## Do's

- Clearly define and communicate the scope of what you are looking at- and not looking at...
- ✓ Assess employee behaviours
- Put people at ease and engage them in conversation try to determine their values and attitudes
- Be aware of potential significant issues or concerns that were raised previously by employees or through audits, incidents or performance reports
- ✓ Make enquires if you are unsure of whether tests/safety checks are being carried out efficiently, be inquisitive
- $\checkmark$  Stay alert look out for bad habits
- $\checkmark$  Give praise where it is appropriate
- Give employees involved and their supervisors open and honest feedback
- Ask questions about what rules/procedures cannot be followed

## Don'ts

- × Rush the tour
- × Announce all safety tours so that real day to day operations are observed
- × Pronounce on areas outside your competence or walk past people or things that may be wrong without asking questions
- × Cause any risk when approaching someone to talk to them
- × Give lectures, instead have meaningful discussions
- × Disturb staff undertaking hazardous activities
- × Ask leading questions
- × Be seen as a VIP
- × Do not interrupt employees during urgent or safety critical tasks to talk to them



## If you see this at a company location, what does it tell you?





## Criminologists Wilson and Kelling argue that crime is a result of disorder

## Broken windows theory

- If a window is broken and left unrepaired, people walking by will conclude that <u>no one cares</u> and <u>no one is in</u> <u>charge</u>
- Soon more windows will be broken, and a sense on anarchy will spread
- In a city, relatively minor problems (such as graffiti and public order) are the equivalent of 'broken windows' – invitations to more serious crimes
- Tackling these 'broken windows' was the approach used to underpin the rebuilding of the New York subway system (graffiti was ruthlessly tackled before reliability) and later 'Zero Tolerance' policing in New York





What are the safety 'broken windows' in your organisation and how do you tackle them?

**Broken windows** 

- What are the 'broken windows' for safety in your organisation?
  - Processes and procedures
  - Asset condition
  - Appearance and housekeeping
- Who is responsible for identifying and tackling these 'broken windows'?
- How is this monitored?
- As leaders, what we can do?





We can gather evidence on the state of the safety culture from a number of sources



Patterns of behaviour and competency



Watching what people do and how they behave provides valuable insights into cultural norms

## Observing behaviour

- Observing how people carry out tasks can help understand their values, attitudes and perceptions
- Observational checklists can be used to guide observations to ensure that they pick up the critical points



Observation helps to identify where critical tasks may be affected by unsafe behaviours



Observation is essential to understand how real life operations differ from the documented risk assessment and method statements

## Observing behaviour

- Unannounced/unexpected observations have a greater chance of finding out 'what really goes on around here'
- In one company, contractor risk assessments and method statements were clear and thorough but this photograph shows that one contractor is not wearing the required buoyancy aid...



...but he put it on as soon as he realised he was being observed



## Safety documentation is not always an accurate reflection of operations

### What the risk assessment says

No	Hazard	Existing Controls
02	Injury from pedestrians using yard as throughway through vehicle entry/exit gates	Signs in place. Staff to challenge
03	Pedestrians and moving vehicles in same area	Marked walkways, staff supervision, marked through vehicle lanes, speed restrictions
12	Pedestrian Entry/Exit from terminal building	Marked walkway to passenger gangway by signage
13	Pedestrian movement to parcels office	
14	Pedestrian movement to passenger gangway	Signage, segregated, free of trip hazards, adequate lighting
27	Pedestrian movement within HGV parking area	Public car traffic kept in lower lanes to avoid neccessity of foot passenger movements in trailer area, staff vigilance, correct marshalling
28	Vulnerability of cyclists within Yard	Speed restrictinos for vehicles. Cyclists to dismount before boarding.

## What actually happens





Here the risk assessment fails to reflect reality – the efforts to enforce the 'existing controls' appear weak and inconsistent



Behaviour gives key insight into people's attitudes to risk. See how little attention this pedestrian pays to the warning signals at this level crossing







## Observing safety culture



Leading by example is important for enforcing safe behaviour, and conversely, setting a poor example encourages others to ignore safety too

What the staff do...

... what the customers do

Staff at this coach station show no systematic use or enforcement of the existing marked pedestrian walkways...





... is it surprising that the customers do not either?





What would you think about the safety culture if you saw these things on site?





Missing drain cover gathering rubbish
Suspected fly tipping within the Depot





What would you think about the safety culture if you saw these things on site?





- Unsecured gas cylinders close to entrance of workshops (for more than a week) – no action taken even when raised with site personnel
- 4. Cars parking in 'No Parking' area cars belonged to site leadership team members



## This is a real example of one of my construction sector clients



Balfour Beatty is construction company with a London headquarters and listed on the London Stock Exchange



In the past 15 years it has transformed itself into a leading international infrastructure group with s strong commitment to safety through the Zero Harm campaign launched in 2008



## What does this signage and information display tell you?





## 

## And these?



RAY DATE ZONE-

Permit board completely empty



#### **Arthur D Little** 32

**ZERO HARM** 

tansell



An operative had been in this excavation past the protection – he was observed and stopped by visiting Balfour Beatty senior manager







Uncontrolled working at height risk — the risk assessment said the job was to be done from ground level and ladders are not permitted on-site







At this project, one subcontractor supervisor was working under the jib while the excavator was continuing to dig





## Non-compliance with project/site PPE requirements – and these were often not challenged by site management staff



Rigger boots being worn on site, after being told they were not allowed in the induction





Safety trainers being worn (rather than safety boots) despite being told in the induction they were not permitted



No eye protection being worn despite being a project requirement


## Examples of poor housekeeping were observed giving the impression that this standard was acceptable





## The lowest standard YOU accept, is the highest standard that will be acheived



## Examples of poor housekeeping were observed giving the impression that this standard was acceptable



Damaged barriers in place too near the edge of a trench



Edge protection scaffolding erected around fire point



We frequently use our Unwritten Rules of the Game<sup>TM</sup> methodology – note that "perceptions" are subjective opinions as reported and not necessarily correct or true!



The overall Environment





There are a number of good practices which can make safety culture interviews effective at uncovering unwritten rules

## Good practices

- Explain overall aim briefly, and stress confidentiality
- Take time to establish rapport
- Ask open questions, e.g.:
  - Tell me about ...
  - How do you feel about ...
  - Why do you think this ...
  - How easy (hard) is it to ...
  - What is important to you ...



- Try not to break the flow, allow silence
- Record quotes where possible
- Towards the close, use some more probing/confirmatory questions, e.g.,:
- Is it true that ...
- Earlier you said that ...
- Would you say that ...
- Take about 2 hours for an interview



A good way to uncover unwritten rules is to consider *What* people want, *Who* can give it to them, and *How* they can achieve it

Analysis – Management level







**EXAMPLE** 

An example of this is the factors underpinning the unwritten rules that can sometimes lead to under-reporting of accidents and incidents

Example of analysis at management level



**EXAMPLE** 

# Using this structure can help to formulate the types of questions to ask to uncover the unwritten rules

Example of analysis at management level





# The staff at the Regulator agree with the aspiration to create a formal quality management system, but other activities are higher priority

#### Written Rules

- Encouraging the industry to be high performing and efficient
- Emphasise risk management is "not an overhead or an optional add-on"

#### Perceived Management Behaviour

- Set expectation that QMS is important but do not share an overall vision
- Assign process owners (below the Director level) for existing RSD documents
- Set review periodicity for processes and documents – but do not check on progress
- Change internal IT system that make it more difficult to access documents

#### Induced Behaviours and Side Effects

- Process ownership activities are low priority and discretionary
- Processes gradually become less transparent, and less well documented, and more dependent on staff knowledge
- In the future, increasingly inefficient, vital knowledge lost in staff departures

#### **Unwritten Rules**

- Focus on the more important job of regulating the industry rather than creating, reviewing or updating internal documents
- Talking to the right person reduces need to find documents or understand processes independently
   Train staff by having them shadow experts

#### Environment

Ongoing budgetary pressures on Government to respond to political desire for deficit reduction Continuing trend of improvement in health and safety performance



## At site level the belief is that "Safety is not really a top priority for senior management"

#### Written Rules

- Senior managers conduct regular safety tours at all sites
- Site managers are always on site when work is going on
  Management are open to concerns and will intervene when issues are brought to their attention

#### Perceived Management Behaviour

- Fatal risk working groups have been discontinued
- Some managers are seen as weak and not receptive towards receiving "bad news"
- Senior managers only visit sites when something goes wrong
- When issues are reported to senior managers there is no action taken

#### Induced Behaviours and Side Effects

- Operatives on some sites do not follow procedures and unsafe situations go unnoticed and/or unchallenged
- Project sites become heavily reliant on the quality of individual site based staff for the delivery of safe construction work
- Senior managers are not trusted by site staff and subcontractors

#### **Unwritten Rules**

- Safety is just a slogan put on safety posters
- Multiple demands on delivery teams even if the Project Director states "safety is priority" there is a view that middle management are "only playing lip service"
- Do not engage with senior managers as it will simply create more problems and nothing will improve

Arthur D Lit

#### Environment

Culture of fear among management and employees Increased pressure on project budgets and timescales



## "RAMS and Permits to Work are only used by management to cover themselves in case something goes wrong"

#### Written Rules

- Work is planned in advance and site teams receive a daily briefing on their activities for the day
- Risk Assessment Method Statements (RAMS) are specific to the tasks in question and help to manage the risks
- If circumstances change then work is stopped until the RAMS are reviewed and updated
- Permits to work are reviewed and signed off to control risks

#### Perceived Management Behaviour

- RAMS written by subcontractors are often generic and are of limited practical use
- RAMS are not reviewed in detail and generic RAMS are often approved
- Site and project management do not review RAMS when project requirements change
- Permits to work are not reviewed or checked in an effective manner

#### Induced Behaviours and Side Effects

- Risk assessments are not used to control risks
- Method statements are not followed
- Deviation from the planned work becomes normalised at many sites
- Permits to work are completed, but their value is minimal due to their poor quality

#### **Unwritten Rules**

- Risk assessments, method statements and permits to work are a paper exercise – it is more important that they are signed off than that they are of good quality
- Site managers will not check risk assessments or permits to work properly
- Supervisors will not intervene to stop the work when they see someone not following the risk assessment or method statement

#### Environment

Subcontractor supervisors and site & project management are often working excessive hours Culture of fear among management and employees Pressure on project schedules due to requirements for increased margins

## **Arthur D Little**

Arthur D. Little has been at the forefront of innovation since 1886.We are an acknowledged thought leader in linking strategy, innovation and transformation in technology-intensive and converging industries. We navigate our clients through changing business ecosystems to uncover new growth opportunities.We enable our clients to build innovation capabilities and transform their organisations.

Our consultants have strong practical industry experience combined with excellent knowledge of key trends and dynamics. Arthur D. Little is present in the most important business centers around the world. We are proud to serve most of the Fortune 1000 companies, in addition to other leading firms and public sector organisations.

For further information please visit **www.adlittle.com**.

Copyright © Arthur D. Little 2018.All rights reserved.



Contact: Stephen Watson, Principal

Arthur D. Little Limited Merlin Place Milton Road Cambridge CB4 0DP

M: +44 7887 758 622 E: watson.stephen@adlittle.com



## We were asked to undertake a review of a passenger ferry business

## Project scope

- Two recent incidents have raised broader concerns about health and safety management overall at Company X and have triggered this review
  - A hatch was left un-barriered during a refit, which a member of staff then fell through and was badly injured
  - A planned MCA inspection of one of the ships identified multiple defects and could have resulted in Company X not being granted a permit to operate the vessel
- The business has a long history and has developed a healthy working relationship with the Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA) underpinned by strong compliance with International Safety Management Code and MCA requirements
- The objectives of the study were to:
  - Assess whether Company X are providing the appropriate arrangements for effective control of health and safety risks throughout their operations
  - Assess the extent to which such arrangements are working in practice to provide effective risk control
  - Assess whether the culture at Company X is providing effective support to the management of health and safety
- The approach taken to the review used a variety of information gathering and analysis activities, including interviews, observations and document review, have now been completed



## Rules and procedures were identified from the culture survey as an area where focus is required







The results of the survey suggest that in order to further Company X's safety culture the perceived disconnect between senior management and operational staff needs to be resolved

## Culture survey

- Whilst organisation and leadership are generally regarded as strong there are specific areas of concern
  - Management is recognised as being involved in safety and they are perceived as supportive and communicative
  - Morale among Food and Beverage (F&B) and engineering staff is a cause for concern
  - F&B staff have indicated that there is a clear disconnect between themselves and senior managers in many leadership elements
  - Staff acknowledge that communication is two-way and that their participation and ideas are important
- Safety management at Company X is seen as being effective: safety is part of performance indicators, staff receive training and a reporting system is in place, though more could be done to ensure that all near misses are reported
- The overall working environment was recognised as the strongest element of culture in Company X; work mate influence is perceived to be strongly positive, with a clear view that all staff should look out for each other and that management have a positive influence on staff
- At an individual level employees feel responsible for safety and that there is not a culture of fatalism in Company X
- Elements of safety management associated with the rules and procedures in place are the weakest element across all departments and job roles there is a view that the people who write the procedures do not always understand how the job is really done



## Results from some of the safety culture questions:

4. I am aware of a bad atmosphere/low morale at work





Results from some of the safety culture questions: 8. The people who write rules and procedures do not understand how I have to do my job





## Results from some of the safety culture questions: 17.The morale of the workplace is good





This disconnect between management and the operational staff varies significantly between departments and job roles - with F&B & Engineering the least positive overall

## Management & operational disconnect

- The biggest deviations from the senior management perspectives occur in morale, worker participation and rules & procedures
- Given the low level of morale among F&B staff this could itself be driving the poor results in other cultural elements, or it could be the result of other issues and further investigation would be required to diagnose the precise causes
- Openness of communication is not regarded as a strength among the F&B and engineering employees, echoing our analysis from the other components of this review, that front line staff commonly feel that they rarely receive feedback on suggestions and near miss reports that they make
- Front line staff all have very different views from senior management on certain topics that correspond with the findings from the rest of our review
  - Rules and procedures are not perceived as being written by people who understand how people have to do their jobs
  - Morale is a serious issue for F&B staff and, to a lesser extent, amongst the engineering staff
  - Our findings from the remainder of the review regarding the disconnect between Bugle Street and front line staff are supported by this
- In contrast to other job roles F&B employees view the organisation & leadership of safety as the weakest area of safety culture



The culture at Company X is still influenced by historic factors, particularly the previous leadership and communication style at the top of Company X

## Historic company culture

- There was a clear perception among employees who have been at Company X for an extended period of time that in the past there was a lack of investment in equipment and facilities that has only begun to be corrected over approximately the last five years
- There was a heavy focus on promoting Company X as a leisure company in contrast to a marine company, due to emergence of a culture of complacency on the marine side of the business
- This resulted in significant staff cuts. Due to the leadership style used and the lack of engagement with the workforce, a blame culture and distrust developed
- There are still remnants of the old blame culture in some areas of Company X that have not fully been rectified since the changes in leadership over the previous 12 months, particularly within F&B and Engineering, as demonstrated by the results of the culture survey
- Given the length of time between permanent CEOs being in position (likely to be around 15 months) this shortfall of health and safety leadership has become protracted
- Yet many staff report a "can do" culture and appear to be hungry to be directed and better engaged there is an opportunity for a significant cultural shift towards a risk management based approach to be developed, with a corresponding improvement in strategic management of health and safety