Classification of Ventricular Septal Defects for the Eleventh Iteration of the International Classification of Diseases—Striving for Consensus: A Report From the International Society for Nomenclature of Paediatric and Congenital Heart Disease
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The definition and classification of ventricular septal defects have been fraught with controversy. The International Society for Nomenclature of Paediatric and Congenital Heart Disease is a group of international specialists in pediatric cardiology, cardiac surgery, cardiac morphology, and cardiac pathology that has met...
annually for the past 9 years in an effort to unify by consensus the divergent approaches to describe ventricular septal defects. These efforts have culminated in acceptance of the classification system by the World Health Organization into the 11th Iteration of the International Classification of Diseases. The scheme to categorize a ventricular septal defect uses both its location and the structures along its borders, thereby bridging the two most popular and disparate classification approaches and providing a common language for describing each phenotype. Although the first-order terms are based on the geographic categories of central perimembranous, inlet, trabecular muscular, and outlet defects, inlet and outlet defects are further characterized by descriptors that incorporate the borders of the defect, namely the perimembranous, muscular, and juxta-arterial types. The Society recognizes that it is equally valid to classify these defects by geography or borders, so the emphasis in this system is on the second-order terms that incorporate both geography and borders to describe each phenotype. The unified terminology should help the medical community describe with better precision all types of ventricular septal defects.

The ISNPCHD is a group of committed volunteers whose primary goal since 2002 has been to develop a naming system for pediatric and congenital heart disease (CHD) with the following characteristics: the system is comprehensive, internally consistent, and sensitive to previous work in the field, and it strives to overcome the challenges in communication related to competing nomenclature systems [13–16]. For obvious reasons, this issue has become particularly acute with the escalating reliance on multicenter data sharing as a means of tracking outcomes and responses to therapies. Thus, the definition of a VSD provides the epitome of the challenges facing efforts to create a common language for CHD [17–21]. The diversity of entrenched systems at centers caring for children with CHD is significant, as is the resistance to change. This has been the most challenging topic faced by the ISNPCHD, and this document chronicles the multiyear effort to achieve consensus, requiring compromise from all participants. The terms attempt to incorporate the views of cardiologists, surgeons, morphologists, and pathologists from programs using the full spectrum of nomenclature systems. There is no intent to declare one terminology as correct and another as incorrect. Instead, the objective is to construct a workable system with the least ambiguity that can be achieved. Several guiding principles have evolved during our deliberations and are worth emphasizing:

- The primary goal of the ISNPCHD is to provide a rich and unambiguous classification system for use in multicenter data consolidation initiatives, such as the World Health Organization’s ICD and the databases of The Society of Thoracic Surgeons and Association for European Paediatric and Congenital Cardiology. It is not anticipated that programs will necessarily convert to the ISNPCHD system, although it is likely that an evolution in this direction

The International Society for Nomenclature of Paediatric and Congenital Heart Disease (ISNPCHD) has defined a ventricular septal defect (VSD) as a congenital cardiac malformation in which there is a hole or pathway between the ventricular chambers [1]. It is known as a VSD in English and German and is literally translated as an interventricular communication in Spanish, French, and Portuguese. It can occur in isolation or as an integral component of complex lesions such as tetralogy of Fallot, transposition, common arterial trunk, or functionally univentricular heart.

Even though it is the most common congenital cardiac malformation, there is no consensus on how to describe and categorize these lesions [2]. In fact, some have suggested that the terms VSD and interventricular communication are not equal [3]. The lack of consensus occurs primarily because of three scenarios: there may be different opinions about the intrinsic anatomy, there may be agreement about the anatomy, but different authors have used the same term differently, or there may be different terms for the same anatomic entity. The need for consensus is well recognized, because VSDs, even in isolation, can show bewildering morphologic heterogeneity, resulting in variable definitions in the literature [4–11].

In an effort to unify divergent approaches to the description and categorization of VSDs, the ISNPCHD has proposed a classification system that uses the terms with their linked 6-digit codes from the International Paediatric and Congenital Cardiac Code (IPCCC) to pave the way toward consensus (Table 1). This system, which includes definitions, synonyms, and commentaries, has been accepted by the World Health Organization and incorporated into the Foundation layer of the 11th iteration of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-11) [1, 12]. To clarify the definition of a VSD and highlight the controversies related to classification, the ISNPCHD has provided the following commentary in ICD-11 [1]:

The definitions offered for a VSD, in its various forms, will be used most frequently in the setting of patients who do not have abnormalities of either atrioventricular (AV) or ventriculoarterial (VA) connections. The definitions themselves, however, are equally applicable for the description and categorization of holes or pathways between the ventricles when the segmental connections between the cardiac components are abnormal. The key to understanding the definitions is to appreciate that the hole or pathway between the ventricles is defined both on the basis of its geographic location within the ventricular septum and its margins as seen from the aspect of the morphologically right ventricle (RV).

The ISNPCHD is a group of committed volunteers whose primary goal since 2002 has been to develop a naming system for pediatric and congenital heart disease (CHD) with the following characteristics: the system is comprehensive, internally consistent, and sensitive to previous work in the field, and it strives to overcome the challenges in communication related to competing nomenclature systems [13–16]. For obvious reasons, this issue has become particularly acute with the escalating reliance on multicenter data sharing as a means of tracking outcomes and responses to therapies. Thus, the definition of a VSD provides the epitome of the challenges facing efforts to create a common language for CHD [17–21]. The diversity of entrenched systems at centers caring for children with CHD is significant, as is the resistance to change. This has been the most challenging topic faced by the ISNPCHD, and this document chronicles the multiyear effort to achieve consensus, requiring compromise from all participants. The terms attempt to incorporate the views of cardiologists, surgeons, morphologists, and pathologists from programs using the full spectrum of nomenclature systems. There is no intent to declare one terminology as correct and another as incorrect. Instead, the objective is to construct a workable system with the least ambiguity that can be achieved. Several guiding principles have evolved during our deliberations and are worth emphasizing:

- The primary goal of the ISNPCHD is to provide a rich and unambiguous classification system for use in multicenter data consolidation initiatives, such as the World Health Organization’s ICD and the databases of The Society of Thoracic Surgeons and Association for European Paediatric and Congenital Cardiology. It is not anticipated that programs will necessarily convert to the ISNPCHD system, although it is likely that an evolution in this direction
will take place over time because this system facilitates multicenter data sharing.

- Ultimately, the anatomic names are simply words used as a means of communication. They have no prima facie “scientific” correctness or incorrectness. Their correctness is based on whether they facilitate communication and whether they achieve acceptance among cardiologists, surgeons, and morphologists.

- Nomenclature is often divorced from etymology and cannot be judged right or wrong based on whether it accurately reflects the original meaning of the word. A familiar example is the term “gradient,” which etymologically means a change per unit distance, a slope value. In contrast, gradient is used in cardiology to designate the absolute pressure difference between two anatomic locations. This divergence between etymology and use has not impaired understanding.

- Basing nomenclature on the continuously evolving understanding of embryology has been challenging. Endocardial cushion defect and bulboventricular foramen, for example, have been problematic terms in this regard and are no longer considered accurate descriptions of their respective phenotypes. For a discussion of the embryologic development of the ventricular septum, please see the Appendix and Supplemental Figures 1A, 1B, and 1C.

### Table 1. International Society for Nomenclature of Paediatric and Congenital Heart Disease Classification Scheme for Ventricular Septal Defect (International Paediatric and Congenital Cardiac Code 07.10.00) as Incorporated in the International Classification of Diseases-11th Iteration

| 1. Perimembranous central VSD (07.10.01) |
| 2. Inlet VSD without a common AV junction (07.14.05) |
| a. Inlet perimembranous VSD without AV septal malalignment and without a common AV junction (07.10.02) |
| b. Inlet perimembranous VSD with AV septal malalignment and without a common AV junction (07.14.06) |
| c. Inlet muscular VSD (07.11.02) |
| 3. Trabecular muscular VSD (07.11.01) |
| a. Trabecular muscular VSD: mid septal (07.11.04) |
| b. Trabecular muscular VSD: apical (07.11.03) |
| c. Trabecular muscular VSD: postero-inferior (07.11.12) |
| d. Trabecular muscular VSD: anterosuperior (07.11.07) |
| e. Trabecular muscular VSD: multiple (“Swiss cheese” septum) (07.11.05) |
| 4. Outlet VSD (07.12.00) |
| a. Outlet VSD without malalignment (07.12.09) |
| i. Outlet muscular VSD without malalignment (07.11.06) |
| ii. Doubly committed juxta-arterial VSD without malalignment (07.12.01) |
| 1. Doubly committed juxta-arterial VSD without malalignment and with a muscular postero-inferior rim (07.12.02) |
| 2. Doubly committed juxta-arterial VSD without malalignment and with a fibrous postero-inferior rim (perimembranous extension) (07.12.03) |
| b. Outlet VSD with anteriorly malaligned outlet septum (07.10.17) |
| i. Outlet muscular VSD with anteriorly malaligned outlet septum (07.11.15) |
| ii. Outlet perimembranous VSD with anteriorly malaligned outlet septum (07.10.04) |
| iii. Doubly committed juxta-arterial VSD with anteriorly malaligned fibrous outlet septum (07.12.12) |
| 1. Doubly committed juxta-arterial VSD with anteriorly malaligned fibrous outlet septum and a muscular postero-inferior rim (07.12.07) |
| 2. Doubly committed juxta-arterial VSD with anteriorly malaligned fibrous outlet septum and a fibrous postero-inferior rim (perimembranous extension) (07.12.05) |
| c. Outlet VSD with posteriorly malaligned outlet septum (07.10.18) |
| i. Outlet muscular VSD with posteriorly malaligned outlet septum (07.11.16) |
| ii. Outlet perimembranous VSD with posteriorly malaligned outlet septum (07.10.19) |
| iii. Doubly committed juxta-arterial VSD with posteriorly malaligned fibrous outlet septum (07.12.13) |
| 1. Doubly committed juxta-arterial VSD with posteriorly malaligned fibrous outlet septum and a muscular postero-inferior rim (07.12.08) |
| 2. Doubly committed juxta-arterial VSD with posteriorly malaligned fibrous outlet septum and a fibrous postero-inferior rim (perimembranous extension) (07.12.06) |

* The interventricular communication associated with a common AV junction (VSD component of an AV septal or AV canal defect) should be considered in the common AV junction section of the International Paediatric and Congenital Cardiac Code or the International Classification of Diseases-11th Iteration for coding purposes.

AV = atrioventricular; VSD = ventricular septal defect.
• The nomenclature requires a hierarchical system that captures the anatomic and physiologic findings at both low and high specificity, reflecting the wide range of granularity that is clinically achieved in the variable settings wherein clinical care is delivered.

Classification: Geography Versus Borders
Historically, the classification of VSDs has been fraught with controversy. Most systems for classification use the geographic approach, which focuses on the defect location within the septum from the right ventricular (RV) perspective, or the borders approach, which focuses on the anatomic structures adjacent to and surrounding the defect. The geographic approach helps to determine the surgical incision site (through the right atrium, RV, or pulmonary artery), whereas the borders approach helps to anticipate the location of the conduction pathway (which is not easily visible) to avoid heart block during the intervention [22].

Early reports based on pathologic specimens to promote the geographic approach divided VSDs into defects at or distant from the ventricular outflow tracts, with the former more common than the latter [4]. Others used the location relative to the supraventricular crest with terms like “infracristal” or “supracristal.” Subsequent echocardiographic reports classified defects by specified areas of the RV septum as inlet, membranous, muscular, and outlet VSDs, with the last term involving the area between the limbs of the septal band (septomarginal trabeculation) [6]. Within the geographic framework, inlet defects were occasionally associated with a straddling tricuspid valve and malalignment between the atrial and ventricular septum, and outlet defects were frequently associated with malalignment between the outlet septum (conal septum) and the remainder of the ventricular septum. Further refinement of this classification system equated inlet defects to VSDs of the atrioventricular (AV) canal type and outlet defects to conal septal or infundibular VSDs [7, 8]. In addition, conoventricular defects encompassed membranous VSDs as well as those involving malalignment of the muscular outlet septum.

Proponents of the borders approach focused on the relationship of VSDs to the AV valves, membranous septum, muscular ventricular septum, and arterial valves, highlighting the areas of fibrous continuity between the AV and arterial valves [5, 9]. In hearts with concordant VA connections, perimembranous defects were defined by their location in the area of fibrous continuity between the tricuspid and aortic valves, representing the postero-inferior border of the defect. These defects opened toward the RV inlet or outlet. The term conoventricular defect was used instead of perimembranous or membranous defect in one report, defining its location between the limbs of the septal band, often in association with abnormalities of the outlet septum [9]. Muscular defects had a completely muscular border located anywhere in the muscular ventricular septum. Subarterial or juxta-arterial defects were defined by an absent or fibrous outlet septum, localizing them in the area of fibrous continuity between the aortic and pulmonary valves.

More recently, The Society of Thoracic Surgeons used a hybrid classification system involving borders and geography for its international database project, incorporating commonly used synonyms: type 1 (subarterial, supra-cristal, conal septal, infundibular), type 2 (perimembranous, paramembranous, conoventricular), type 3 (inlet, AV canal type), and type 4 (muscular) [7, 10].

Within this context, the ISNCPCHD has proposed a classification scheme that begins with geography but also highlights the importance of borders to facilitate the understanding of each lesion. This system uses the terms central perimembranous, inlet, trabecular muscular, and outlet VSDs (Table 1). A similarly reasonable approach might begin with borders, using the terms perimembranous, muscular, and juxta-arterial, as described above, while highlighting the geographic extent of each type of defect.

Although the two approaches may seem incongruent and disparate, the individual second-order lesions listed under each first-order category can be found in both classification systems, with names that are identical or nearly identical, emphasizing the interdependence of geography and borders. Therefore, the ISNCPCHD classification system includes synonyms (listed as parenthetical terms in this report) for the second-order terms used to describe the same phenotype, underscoring the validity of both approaches. In addition, it is important to recognize that two or more defect types can co-exist in the same heart as a single confluent VSD. For example, some hearts have confluent inlet and outlet VSDs as seen in patients with tetralogy of Fallot and AV septal defect (AV canal defect).

Normal Ventricular Septal Anatomy
To fully appreciate the geography and borders of VSDs, one must understand the landmarks of a normal ventricular septum from the RV perspective (Fig 1): the membranous septum with the AV conduction pathway traversing its postero-inferior margin; the septal band (septomarginal trabeculation) with its postero-inferior and anterosuperior limbs; the medial papillary muscle (papillary muscle of the conus), which is usually located on the postero-inferior limb of the septal band and supports the anterosetal commissure of the tricuspid valve; and the subpulmonary muscular sleeve (subpulmonary infundibulum) separating the tricuspid and pulmonary valves (not delineated in Fig 1). All of these morphologic structures can vary significantly in normal hearts. An important structure in the setting of outlet defects is the outlet septum (conal septum); its presence in normal hearts is still controversial at this time [23].

Central Perimembranous Defects
Central perimembranous defects (perimembranous central defects) are located at the center of the base of the
ventricular mass in the space usually occupied by the interventricular part of the membranous septum. This defect elicits the most controversy with regard to location and name, primarily because the term “perimembranous” involves borders and not geography. It refers specifically to the fibrous nature of the postero-inferior rim of the defect and is used to complement the geographic definition. One margin of these VSDs usually involves the area of fibrous continuity between an AV and an arterial (semilunar) valve. In hearts with concordant VA connections, this is where the tricuspid and aortic valves are in fibrous continuity. It is feasible that some central perimembranous defects are in continuity exclusively between the leaflets of the AV valves without involving an arterial valve. It may also be possible for a defect that is central perimembranous in location to have completely muscular borders, and some have considered this to be a “central muscular” defect. Because there is still no consensus on the characteristics of this anatomic entity, the “central muscular” defect is not currently included in ICD-11.

Central perimembranous defects are usually located at the anterosepal commissure behind the septal leaflet of the tricuspid valve and below the commissure between the right and noncoronary leaflets of the aortic valve. The aortic valve may prolapse through the defect into the RV, with associated distortion often resulting in aortic regurgitation. These defects are located below and behind the postero-inferior limb of the septal band, in contrast to outlet defects that open into the RV between the 2 limbs of the septal band [11]. The postero-inferior limb does not extend to the ventriculo-infundibular fold, thereby usually allowing for fibrous continuity between the tricuspid and aortic valves at the postero-inferior rim of the defect. As a result, the AV conduction system is vulnerable to injury as it passes through the apex of the triangle of Koch into muscle just below this postero-inferior fibrous rim (Fig 2).

Common synonyms for central perimembranous defects include membranous, perimembranous, para-membranous [8], conoventricular without conal septal malalignment, and type 2 VSDs [10]; less frequently used terms include infracristal and subaortic VSDs. The ISNPCHD has created this new term because of the conflicting and overlapping usage of these prior terms, frequently resulting in misinterpretation. The motivation for this approach is similar to the motivation for the recommendation by The Society of Thoracic Surgeons [10] to use neutral and abstract terms (types 1 to 4) to describe VSDs as described earlier by Wells and Lindesmith [7].

Perimembranous defects with inlet extension have been classified as perimembranous inlet defects [5] and perimembranous defects with anterior outlet extension, as well as conoventricular defects with malaligned outlet septum, are generally classified as outlet defects. The ISNPCHD provides the following commentary to address these issues [1]:

Although best used to describe the perimembranous defect that opens centrally at the base of the RV, this term might be used to code perimembranous defects with inlet or outlet extension. It is recommended, however, that the more precise terms be used whenever possible for coding the latter lesions. This code is used by some as synonymous with the perimembranous, conoventricular, Type II, or the paramembranous defects. It should not be used to code an inlet VSD, or the so-called AV canal VSD. More specific terms exist for coding these entities. It is used by some to describe an isolated perimembranous VSD without extension, although it is unlikely that perimembranous defects exist in the absence of deficiency of their muscular perimeter. The conoventricular VSD with malalignment should be coded as an outlet defect, as should the perimembranous defect opening to the outlet of the RV. [Most] perimembranous defects, nonetheless, have part of their margins made up of fibrous continuity either between the leaflets of an AV and an arterial valve or, in the setting of double outlet RV or overriding of the tricuspid valve, by fibrous continuity between the leaflets of the mitral and tricuspid valves. Such defects can also extend to become doubly committed and juxta-arterial (conal septal hypoplasia) when there is also fibrous continuity between the leaflets of the arterial valves or when there is a common arterial valve. Specific codes exist for these variants, which ideally should not be coded using this term.

When there is only minor inlet or outlet extension, differentiation of the central defect from the inlet or outlet defect may be difficult by noninvasive imaging. In these instances, direct visual inspection during surgery or morphologic evaluation may be the only way to distinguish one from the other.
Inlet Defects

Inlet defects open into the RV inlet and extend along the septal leaflet of the tricuspid valve. They are located below the medial papillary muscle, postero-inferior limb of the septal band, and anteroseptal commissure of the tricuspid valve. Defects with distinct and separate right and left AV junctions (distinct tricuspid and mitral valves) are included here, whereas AV septal defect variants involving a common AV junction without significant atrial shunting and with exclusive ventricular shunting should be considered as the interventricular component of an AV septal defect and not labeled as “inlet VSDs without a common AV junction” [24, 25]. Other terms used for an inlet defect include AV canal-type defect [8], perimembranous defect with posterior inlet extension, and type 3 defect [10].

Inlet perimembranous defects (perimembranous inlet defects or perimembranous defects with postero-inferior inlet extension) are bordered anterosuperiorly by the area of fibrous continuity between the leaflets of an AV valve and an arterial valve. Because the conduction system courses along its postero-inferior rim, surgical closure must involve sutures along the annulus of the septal leaflet of the tricuspid valve away from the postero-inferior border to avoid heart block (Fig 3) [22, 24]. Inlet muscular defects (muscular inlet defects) are in a similar location, but they have exclusively muscular borders and are not continuous with AV valvar tissue (Fig 4). Unlike inlet perimembranous defects, the conduction system courses near but not along the superior border of an inlet muscular defect [22, 24].

Inlet perimembranous defects are further subdivided into those with alignment of the atrial septum and postero-inferior part of the muscular ventricular septum and those with malalignment. The former involves the area of fibrous continuity between the tricuspid and mitral valves, while the latter is always associated with a straddling or overriding tricuspid valve, or both, or with supero-inferior ventricles with an orthogonally related atrial septum and ventricular septum. When there is malalignment, the conduction axis arises from an anomalous AV node located inferiorly and to the right where the muscular ventricular septum joins the right AV groove (Fig 5) [24].

Trabecular Muscular Defects

Trabecular muscular defects have exclusively muscular borders and are located within the apical muscular component of the ventricular septum (Fig 5A). They are not synonymous with type 4 VSDs, because this classification also includes inlet muscular and outlet muscular defects that are now classified as inlet or outlet defects (Fig 5B) [7, 10]. Many trabecular muscular defects close spontaneously without intervention. Some are complex, with multiple entrances and exits on both sides of the ventricular septum, and these are coded differently than the entity of multiple VSDs from different geographic categories. Trabecular muscular defects are the least controversial with regard to nomenclature, although some differing approaches related to muscular defects at the RV inlet and outlet still exist (Fig 4A). Inlet muscular defects are actually in the apical trabecular muscular septum but open into the RV inlet (Fig 4B). In contrast, outlet muscular defects open into the RV outlet and are formed because of failed fusion between the
muscularized proximal outflow cushions and the apical trabecular muscular septum. The ISNPCHD provides the following commentary regarding this issue [1]:

Defects within the muscular part of the ventricular septum that open to the inlet or the outlet of the RV [have been] considered to be within the apical part of the ventricular septum. However, these codes specifying defects within the trabecular part of the ventricular septum should not be used to code the inlet or outlet muscular defects as more specific geographical codes have been created for these latter variants.

These VSDs are further classified by their geographic location within the trabecular muscular septum (Fig 6). The most commonly used subclassification involves the terms midseptal, apical, postero-inferior, and...
anterosuperior. This approach requires a complete understanding of the spatial landmarks designating anterior, posterior, inferior, and superior locations within the trabecular muscular septum, particularly in terms of the relationship of the defect to the AV valves, moderator band, subarterial infundibulum, and arterial valves. For example, apical muscular defects are distal to the moderator band, whereas anterosuperior, midseptal, and postero-inferior defects are proximal to the moderator band. Anterosuperior muscular defects are anterior to the septal band and its limbs compared with the other trabecular muscular defects. Midseptal muscular defects are distinguished from central perimembranous defects, because the former are embedded within the middle of the

Fig 5. (A) Illustration of an inlet perimembranous defect with malalignment of the atrial septum and postero-inferior part of the ventricular septum. The tricuspid valve straddles the defect with attachments to the left ventricle. Notice the abnormally inferior atrioventricular (AV) node and conduction pathway coursing along the postero-inferior rim. (B) Pathology specimen of an inlet perimembranous defect with AV septal malalignment and a straddling tricuspid valve. (VSD = ventricular septal defect.)

Fig 6. (A) Illustration of trabecular muscular defects located in the midseptal, postero-inferior, apical, and anterosuperior aspects of the muscular trabecular septum. (B) Pathology specimen of a large postero-inferior muscular trabecular defect with the inferior margin at the diaphragmatic wall of the ventricle.
apical muscular septum (Fig 6A), whereas the latter open in the central part of the base of the ventricular mass (Fig 2).

The distinction between a postero-inferior muscular defect (Fig 6B) and an inlet muscular defect (Fig 4B) can be difficult and somewhat arbitrary, often determined by the distance of the defect from the hinge of the septal leaflet of the tricuspid valve. In addition, the postero-inferior muscular defects are often adjacent to the diaphragmatic part of the RV, precluding transcatheter device closure because of the right angle between the septum and RV free wall and the absence of a postero-inferior muscular rim.

Outlet Defects

Outlet defects open into the RV outlet between the limbs of the septal band. They may or may not be associated with malalignment between the outlet septum and the apical part of the muscular septum [11]. They can be further subdivided into outlet perimembranous defects (perimembranous outlet defects), outlet muscular defects (muscular outlet defects), and doubly committed juxta-arterial defects with a muscular postero-inferior rim. Many systems for classifying outlet defects have included only those defects with hypoplastic or absent muscular outlet septum, using nomenclature like infundibular, subarterial, doubly committed subarterial, conal septal [8], intraconal, type 1 [10], subpulmonary, and supracristal VSDs. However, defects with a malaligned outlet septum are also included in this category.

Outlet perimembranous defects are usually associated with a malaligned outlet septum (Fig 7). As with central and inlet perimembranous defects, these defects usually involve discontinuity between the postero-inferior limb of the septal band and the ventriculo-infundibular fold, allowing for fibrous continuity between the tricuspid and aortic valves. Once again, the AV conduction system is vulnerable as it courses along the postero-inferior rim of the defect. Outlet perimembranous defects are also distinguished from central perimembranous defects because the former are usually adjacent to the anterior leaflet and the latter are usually adjacent to the septal leaflet of the tricuspid valve [11]. In outlet muscular defects and juxta-arterial defects with a muscular postero-inferior rim, the conduction pathway travels underneath the postero-inferior limb of the septal band (Figs 4A and 8A), thereby becoming a left-sided structure that is remote from the postero-inferior defect border. Surgical closure using the postero-inferior limb as it approaches the membranous septum, therefore, should not result in disruption of the conduction axis [22].

When the muscular outlet septum is hypoplastic and aligned with the apical part of the muscular septum, the outlet defect thus formed usually has exclusively muscular borders without fibrous continuity between the leaflets of the aortic and pulmonary valves. These defects are considered outlet muscular defects without malalignment. They are not in fibrous continuity with the AV septum and are located away from the AV conduction pathway and above the postero-inferior limb of the septal band.

When the muscular outlet septum is absent (when there is a purely fibrous outlet septum), the cranial border of the defect is the area of fibrous continuity between the pulmonary and aortic valves (Fig 8), highlighting the partial deficiency of the free-standing subpulmonary infundibulum. These lesions are also described as doubly committed juxta-arterial defects, and the fibrous outlet septum can be aligned or malaligned relative to the apical...
part of the muscular septum. The right and noncoronary leaflets of the aortic valve can prolapse into these defects, with associated aortic valvar distortion and aortic regurgitation. In the setting of concordant VA connections, these defects can extend postero-inferiorly into the area of fibrous continuity between the tricuspid and aortic valves, resulting in a doubly committed juxta-arterial defect without malalignment and with a fibrous postero-inferior rim (perimembranous extension).

When the outlet septum is located outside the plane of the limbs of the septal band, there is malalignment between the outlet septum and the rest of the muscular ventricular septum. Some have used a separate and distinct category for these lesions, labeling them as malalignment defects. Outlet defects can involve anterior or posterior malalignment of the outlet septum. Anterior malalignment is associated with overriding of the arterial valve that is supported predominantly by the left ventricle, and posterior malalignment is associated with left ventricular outflow tract obstruction. Outlet defects with a malaligned outlet septum usually occur with other CHDs. For example, the anterior malalignment with concordant VA connections seen in tetralogy of Fallot is associated with obstruction along the subpulmonary region. Extreme anterior malalignment results in the tetralogy of Fallot variant with pulmonary atresia. In contrast, posterior malalignment with concordant VA connections is usually associated with subaortic stenosis and aortic arch obstruction or interruption. In patients with discordant VA connections (transposition of the great arteries), anterior malalignment is associated with subaortic stenosis and a hypoplastic aortic arch, and posterior malalignment is associated with subpulmonary stenosis. Outlet defects with malalignment, particularly in the setting of tetralogy of Fallot, can also be confluent with the ventricular component of an AV septal defect, resulting in the co-existence of both lesions in the same heart.

Summary

The scheme proposed by the ISNPCHD classifies VSDs as central perimembranous, inlet, trabecular muscular, and outlet defects, using a geographic approach as the starting point of classification while highlighting the importance of describing the borders to facilitate better understanding (Table 2). In hearts with concordant VA connections, central perimembranous defects are usually adjacent to the area of fibrous continuity between the septal leaflet of the tricuspid valve and the aortic valve, and they are located below and behind the postero-inferior limb of the septal band.

Inlet defects open into the RV inlet below the postero-inferior limb of the septal band and the medial papillary muscle, whereas outlet defects open into the RV outlet between the 2 limbs of the septal band. Inlet defects can be associated with malalignment of the atrial septum and ventricular septum, typically with a straddling tricuspid valve. In contrast, outlet defects are often associated with malalignment of the muscular or fibrous outlet septum relative to the limbs of the septal band. The conduction pathway is located along the postero-inferior border of all perimembranous defects and juxta-arterial defects with a fibrous postero-inferior rim, whereas it is remote from the inferior border of all other defects.
Trabecular muscular defects are embedded within the apical muscular ventricular septum and can occupy any of its geographic components. All VSDs can occur in isolation, as confluent combinations of two or more types, or as integral components of other CHDs. In fact, single defects representing confluence of two or more types (such as confluent inlet and outlet defects) will likely be a component of the next version of the ISNPCHD ICD-11 classification system.

The ISNPCHD acknowledges that it is equally valid to classify VSDs based primarily on geography or borders with synonymous terminology to describe the individual phenotypes. By using an approach that incorporates the geographic location of a VSD and its borders, the ISNPCHD system is an attempt to improve the understanding of the anatomy of holes between the ventricles and to harmonize the disparate approaches that have evolved on the basis of pathology and noninvasive imaging. In addition, this approach should encourage anyone who cares for children with VSDs to be as descriptive as possible, using the specified terminology after review and acceptance by all members of the local health care team.

Conclusion
This report is a culmination of work done by the ISNPCHD to classify VSDs and represents years of meetings and debates by the members of the Society. It is meant to be an organic and continuously evolving classification system that will likely change with field testing and advances in scientific knowledge. The terms attributed here to the various types of VSDs have been accepted by the World Health Organization for incorporation into the ICD-11. They provide the medical community with a standardized way to name these lesions, enhancing national and international quality assurance and improvement efforts as well as multicenter research for individuals with CHDs.

The authors would like to acknowledge Ms Gemma Price for her work on Figures 1, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, 6A, 7A, and 8A.
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