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ABSTRACT

Objectives To quantify the risk of preterm birth (PTB) for newborns with congenital heart defects (CHDs) conceived following infertility treatments, and to examine the role of multiple pregnancies in the association between infertility treatments and PTB for newborns with CHD.

Methods We used data from a population-based, prospective cohort study (EPICARD EPidémiologie des CARDiopathies congénitales) including 2190 newborns with CHD and excluding cases with atrial septal defects born to women living in the Greater Paris area between May 2005 and April 2008. Statistical analysis included logistic regression to take into account potential confounders (maternal characteristics, invasive prenatal testing, CHD prenatal diagnosis, medically induced labour/caesarean section before labour, birth year). The role of multiple pregnancies was assessed using a path-analysis approach, allowing decomposition of the total effect of infertility treatments on the risk of PTB into its indirect (mediated by the association between infertility treatments and multiple pregnancies) and direct (mediated by mechanisms other than multiple pregnancies) effects.

Results PTB occurred for 40.6% (95% CI 28.7 to 52.5) of newborns with CHD conceived following infertility treatments vs 12.7% (95% CI 11.3 to 14.2) for spontaneously conceived newborns (p<0.001). After taking into account potentially confounding factors, infertility treatments were associated with a 5.0-fold higher odds of PTB (adjusted OR=5.0, 95% CI 2.9 to 8.6). Approximately two-thirds of this higher risk of PTB associated with infertility treatments was an indirect effect (ie, due to multiple pregnancies) and one-third was a direct effect (ie, not mediated by multiple pregnancies).

Conclusion Newborns with CHD conceived following infertility treatments are at a particularly high risk of PTB, exposing over 40% of them to the ‘double jeopardy’ of CHD and PTB.

INTRODUCTION

Treatments of infertility are the different methods used to achieve pregnancy in case of female and/or male infertility, including induction of ovulation (IO) and assisted reproductive techniques (ART) stricito sensu such as in vitro fertilisation (IVF) and intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI). These methods are increasingly used worldwide, and IVF alone accounted for nearly 1.6% of the total births in 2014 in the USA.

Adverse perinatal outcomes (eg, low birth weight, preterm birth PTB) are more frequent in pregnancies conceived following infertility treatments as compared with spontaneously conceived pregnancies. Infertility treatments are also associated with a higher risk...
of congenital anomalies, in particular a higher risk of congenital heart defects (CHDs). CHD is the most common group of congenital anomalies at birth with an overall total prevalence of 8.0 per 1000 births. Despite progress in their medical and surgical management, CHD remains an important cause of morbidity and the first cause of infant death by malformation. Newborns with CHD are also at higher risk of adverse perinatal outcomes such as small for gestational age and PTB, which in turn are associated with higher morbidity and mortality.

Newborns with CHD conceived following treatments of infertility may be exposed to both the adverse effects of CHD and treatments of infertility, including in particular PTB and multiple pregnancies. The degree of a higher risk of PTB for newborns with CHD conceived following treatments of infertility is not known. Moreover, the role of multiple pregnancies in the association between treatments of infertility and PTB in newborns with CHD has not been assessed.

Using data from a large, prospective, population-based cohort of children with CHD (the EPIdémiologie des CARDiopathies congénitales (EPICARD) study), we (1) assessed the risk of PTB for newborns with CHD conceived following treatments of infertility (IO, IVF, ICSI) and compared it with the risk of PTB for newborns with CHD conceived without treatments of infertility; and (2) used a path-analysis approach to assess the role of multiple pregnancies in the association between treatments of infertility and PTB for newborns with CHD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data source

The EPICARD study is a prospective, population-based cohort study of all children with a CHD born to women living in the Greater Paris area (Paris and its surrounding suburbs) between 2005 and 2008 regardless of place of delivery (total number of births: 317 538). The principal objectives of the study are to use population-based data from a large cohort of patients with CHD to (1) estimate the total and live birth prevalence, (2) examine timing of diagnosis and assess medical and surgical management of children with CHD, (3) evaluate neonatal mortality and morbidity and neurodevelopmental outcomes of children with CHD at the age of 8, and (4) identify the factors associated with their health outcomes, especially the role of events during the neonatal period and of the initial medical and surgical management. All cases (live births, pregnancy terminations, fetal deaths) diagnosed in the prenatal period or up to 1 year of age in the birth cohorts between 1 May 2005 and 30 April 2008 were eligible for inclusion. The total number of cases included in the study was 28 677, including 23 48 live newborns (82%), 4 66 pregnancy terminations (16.2%) and 53 fetal deaths (1.8%). The total prevalence of CHD was 9.0 per 1000 in our population. Diagnoses were confirmed in specialised paediatric cardiology departments and for the majority of pregnancy terminations and fetal deaths by fetopathologist examination; for others in which a pathology exam could not be done (26%), the diagnoses were confirmed by consensus by a paediatric cardiologist and a specialist in echocardiography based on the results of prenatal echocardiography examination.

For this study, we excluded cases of pregnancy terminations and fetal deaths. We also excluded isolated cases of atrial septal defect (ASD) to minimise ascertainment bias (figure 1). Indeed, echocardiography is more often performed in preterm newborns and may be conducted to diagnose minor ASD that would have remained undiagnosed otherwise.

Methods

The main outcome measure was the probability (odds) of PTB (birth <37 weeks of gestation). We quantified and compared the probability of PTB for newborns with CHD conceived after infertility treatments versus those spontaneously conceived for (1) all CHD; (2) isolated CHD (ie, without associated chromosomal or other system anomalies); and (3) major isolated CHD, that is, isolated CHD-ventricular septal defect (VSD) excluded. These categories were chosen as a previous study showed that in general the risk of PTB is variable across these categories.

Data on exposure to infertility treatments were obtained from medical records. We analysed exposure to infertility treatments with all methods combined (IO, IVF±ICSI), as well as separately for ARTs only (IVF±ICSI). We did not have sufficient power however to assess the risk of PTB for cases including IO only.

Using logistic regression, we adjusted the association between infertility treatments and risk (odds) of PTB for the following potentially confounding factors: maternal sociodemographic characteristics (age, occupation and geographical origin), diabetes mellitus, vaginal bleeding during pregnancy, gravidity and year of birth. These factors are known to be associated with the risk of PTB, although their exact relation with the risk of CHD is not completely documented. Maternal age was coded in five categories (<20, 20–29, 30–34, 35–39 and ≥39). Gravidity was coded in two categories: primigravida and multigravida. Maternal occupation was coded in five categories (professional, intermediate, administrative/public service, other and none) following the French National Institute of Statistics and Economic Studies (INSEE) classification. Geographical origin was coded in four categories: French, North African, Sub-Saharan African and other countries. Plurality was classified into two categories: single pregnancies and twins or higher order pregnancies. Year of birth was considered as a continuous variable. Other factors considered as potential confounders were related to management of pregnancy and delivery: invasive prenatal testing (amniocentesis, chorionic villus sampling), prenatal diagnosis of CHD, medical induction of labour or elective caesarean section before labour (for fetal and/or maternal indications).
We also conducted analyses with further adjustment for in utero growth retardation.

**Statistical analysis**

We used standard statistical tests for univariable analyses of the differences between newborns conceived following infertility treatments and spontaneously conceived newborns ($\chi^2$, Fisher’s exact test and t-test). Differences in the odds of PTB for newborns conceived following infertility treatments and spontaneously conceived newborns were assessed using logistic regression models after taking into account the potentially confounding factors listed previously.

To assess the mediating role of multiple pregnancies in the association between infertility treatments and PTB, we conducted a path analysis that allows to decompose the total effect associated with infertility treatments into an indirect effect (ie, mediated by the association between infertility treatments and multiple pregnancies) and a direct effect (ie, not mediated by multiple pregnancies). We used a path-analysis model that is based on a counterfactual (‘what if’) approach which may be
conceptually summarised as the answer to: ‘What would be the risk of PTB associated with infertility treatments if fetuses conceived following infertility treatments had the same probability of multiple pregnancies as spontaneously-conceived newborns?’

The statistical significance level was set at \( \alpha = 0.05 \) and all tests were two-sided. Analyses were done with Stata V.12 software.

**RESULTS**

**Population characteristics**

The EPICARD study included 2867 CHDs. After excluding pregnancy terminations, fetal deaths, isolated ASD and cases with missing information on gestational age, the overall study population included 2190 live newborns with CHD (figure 1). Complete information on infertility treatments exposure was available for 2074 (94.7%) of these cases. Among these 2074 cases, 1663 were isolated CHD (ie, CHD without associated chromosomal anomalies or anomalies of other system), which comprised 635 major isolated CHDs (ie, isolated CHD-VSD excluded).

Conception following infertility treatments was found for 3.3% (69 of 2074) of cases, among whom 2.8% (58 of 2074) following ART (IVF±ICSI).

Table 1 summarises the characteristics of the study population according to infertility treatments exposure. For all variables but two (maternal occupation 5.8% and vaginal bleeding during pregnancy 4.7%), there were less than 1% of missing data. Mothers of newborns with CHD conceived following infertility treatments were older (34.8 vs 31.2 years, \( p < 0.001 \)) and more often in the highest occupation category ‘professional’ (40.0 vs 23.4%, \( p = 0.003 \)) as compared with mothers of newborns with CHD conceived spontaneously. Multiple pregnancies (43.4 vs 3.2%, \( p < 0.001 \)) and elective caesarean section (23.2 vs 12.0%, \( p = 0.005 \)) were significantly more frequent after infertility treatments as compared with spontaneous pregnancies.

Table 2 summarises the proportions of exposure to infertility treatments according to the characteristics of the population. Conceptions following infertility treatments increased with maternal age (from 0% for mothers <20 to 6.3% for mothers \( \geq 40 \), \( p < 0.001 \)), and were highest in the occupation category ‘professional’ and lowest for unemployed mothers (5.5% and 1.4%, respectively, \( p = 0.003 \)). Conception following infertility treatments was found in 31.9% of multiple pregnancies vs 2.0% of singletons (\( p < 0.001 \)). Infertility treatments exposure occurred in 6.3% of newborns delivered after elective caesarean section vs 2.9% of other cases (\( p = 0.005 \)).

**Probability of PTB**

Table 3 summarises the univariable and multivariable analyses of the probability (odds) of PTB in relation to infertility treatments exposure.
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Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Newborns conceived following infertility treatments</th>
<th>Spontaneously conceived newborns</th>
<th>P values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Missing†</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induced labour</td>
<td>7 (10.1)</td>
<td>315 (15.8)</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing†</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>9 (0.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elective caesarean section</td>
<td>16 (23.2)</td>
<td>239 (12.0)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing†</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>11 (0.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cases or controls without missing data used as a denominator to calculate the %.
†% of missing data calculated with the total numbers of cases and controls.

All CHDs

PTB occurred for 40.6% (95% CI 28.7 to 52.5) of newborns conceived following infertility treatments (all methods combined) vs 12.7% (95% CI 11.3 to 14.2) of spontaneously conceived newborns (p<0.001). PTB was medically induced for 35.7% of newborns conceived following infertility treatments vs 25.1% of spontaneously conceived newborns; however, this difference was not statistically significant (p=0.332).

Exposure to infertility treatments (all methods combined) was associated with a 4.7-fold higher odds of PTB (unadjusted OR=4.7, 95% CI 2.8 to 7.7). After taking into account potentially confounding factors (maternal age, occupation and geographical origin, diabetes mellitus, vaginal bleeding during pregnancy, gravidity, year of birth, invasive prenatal testing, prenatal diagnosis of CHD, mode of delivery), infertility treatments were associated with a fivefold higher odds of PTB (adjusted OR=5.0, 95% CI 3.0 to 9.7).

Isolated CHD

For isolated CHD, the results were generally similar to those found for all cases of CHD (all methods combined: adjusted OR=5.3, 95% CI 2.9 to 9.8; for IVF±ICSI: adjusted OR=5.7, 95% CI 3.0 to 10.8).

Major isolated CHD

For major isolated CHD, infertility treatments (all methods combined) were associated with a 3.6-fold higher odds of PTB (adjusted OR=3.6, 95% CI 1.4 to 9.4). IVF±ICSI was associated with a statistically significant increase in the risk of PTB (adjusted OR=4.0, 95% CI 1.4 to 11.2).

Role of multiple pregnancies

Table 4 summarises the path-analysis results for the decomposition of the total effect of infertility treatments.
Table 2 Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elective caesarean section</th>
<th>n (%)†</th>
<th>P values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1808 (2.9)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>255 (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Infertility treatments included induction of ovulation, in vitro fertilisation and intracytoplasmic sperm injection.
†% calculated with the total of cases or controls without missing data as a denominator.

on the risk of PTB into direct and indirect (ie, mediated by multiple pregnancies) components.

This analysis suggested that about two-thirds of the overall higher odds of PTB associated with infertility treatments were due to the higher probability of multiple pregnancies following infertility treatments (the indirect effect of infertility treatments on the risk of PTB mediated by multiple pregnancies), whereas one-third of the total effect on the risk of PTB associated with infertility treatments was not due to the higher risk of multiple pregnancies (the direct effect of infertility treatments on the risk of PTB). For IVF±ICSI, the estimated size of the indirect effect relative to their total effects was similar to that found for all methods combined (66.7%).

For isolated CHD, the results were close to those observed for all CHDs analysed together. For major isolated CHDs, the results for all methods combined (57.7%) and for IVF±ICSI (62.7%) were slightly lower than those observed for all CHDs. Finally, further adjustment for in utero growth retardation did not modify substantially neither our estimates of the risk of PTB associated with infertility treatments nor the quantification of the effect of multiple pregnancies in this association (data not shown).

Table 3 Analyses of the association between infertility treatments and risk of preterm birth in newborns with CHD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHD</th>
<th>Infertility treatments</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>% (95% CI)</th>
<th>P values</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR*</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Adjusted† OR*</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All CHDs</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>12.7 (11.3 to 14.3)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All methods combined‡</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40.6 (28.9 to 53.1)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.8 to 7.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.9 to 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVF±ICSI‡</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>43.1 (30.2 to 56.8)</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.0 to 8.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.0 to 9.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated CHD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>10.6 (9.1 to 12.2)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All methods combined‡</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38.9 (25.9 to 53.1)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.0 to 9.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>2.9 to 9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVF±ICSI‡</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>40.4 (26.4 to 55.7)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.1 to 10.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.0 to 10.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated major CHD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>16.6 (13.8 to 19.8)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All methods combined‡</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>47.6 (25.7 to 70.2)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.9 to 11.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.4 to 9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVF±ICSI‡</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>52.9 (27.8 to 77.0)</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.1 to 15.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.4 to 11.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ORs represent the odds of preterm birth in fetuses with CHD exposed to infertility treatments relative to the odds of preterm birth in fetuses with CHD unexposed to infertility treatments.
†Adjusted for maternal sociodemographic characteristics (age, geographical origin, occupation), gravidity, diabetes mellitus, vaginal bleeding, invasive prenatal testing, prenatal diagnosis of CHD, intrauterine growth restriction, medical induction of labour or caesarean delivery before labour and year of birth.
‡Including induction of ovulation.
CHD, congenital heart defect; ICSI, intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF, in vitro fertilisation; Ref, reference.

DISCUSSION

In this study, using data on 2074 newborns from a population-based, prospective cohort study of children with CHD (the EPICARD study),13 we assessed the risk of PTB in newborns with CHD conceived following infertility treatments (IO, IVF and ICSI). We also examined the role of multiple pregnancies in the association between infertility treatments and PTB.

Our results show that infertility treatment conception notably increases the risk of PTB in newborns with CHD, as we found that 40% of newborns with CHD conceived following infertility treatments (all methods combined) were born preterm, compared with 12% for those conceived spontaneously. After taking into account potentially confounding factors, infertility treatments were associated with a fivefold higher odds of PTB for newborns with CHD. In the general population/non-malformed newborns, infertility treatments are associated with a 1.5-fold to 2-fold higher risk of PTB.2 4 Consequently, the magnitude of the effect of infertility treatments on the risk of PTB appears substantially higher in the case of CHD as compared with that observed in the general population. Newborns with CHD conceived following infertility treatments are therefore particularly at risk of PTB with its attendant adverse short-term and long-term outcomes.23 Moreover, when we restricted our analysis to spontaneous births (not medically induced births), the risk of PTB in newborns with CHD conceived following infertility treatments remained much higher than in newborns with CHD conceived without infertility treatments (data not shown).

Using a path-analysis model, we found that about two-thirds of the total effect of infertility treatments on the risk of PTB in newborns with CHD was due to (mediated by) multiple pregnancies (the indirect effect), whereas
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHD</th>
<th>Infertility treatments</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR*</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Adjusted† OR*</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR*</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Adjusted† OR*</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR*</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Adjusted† OR*</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>Estimated size of the indirect effect (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All CHDs</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All methods combined‡</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>3.0 to 7.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.8 to 8.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.1 to 2.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.0 to 2.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.0 to 3.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.0 to 4.2</td>
<td>66.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVF±ICSI‡</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>2.9 to 9.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.0 to 9.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.1 to 3.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.0 to 3.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.0 to 3.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.1 to 4.2</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated CHD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All methods combined‡</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.3 to 8.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.9 to 10.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.0 to 2.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.0 to 2.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.3 to 4.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.3 to 4.5</td>
<td>68.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVF±ICSI‡</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.0 to 11.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.0 to 11.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.9 to 3.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.9 to 3.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.2 to 5.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.2 to 5.1</td>
<td>68.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated major CHD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All methods combined‡</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.7 to 11.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.5 to 9.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.8 to 6.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.7 to 4.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.3 to 3.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.2 to 3.9</td>
<td>57.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVF±ICSI‡</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.7 to 18.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.2 to 17.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.7 to 8.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.5 to 6.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.3 to 4.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.4 to 4.6</td>
<td>62.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ORs represent the odds of preterm birth in fetuses with CHD exposed to ART relative to the odds of preterm birth in fetuses with CHD unexposed to ART.
†Adjusted for maternal sociodemographic characteristics (age, geographical origin, occupation), gravidity, diabetes mellitus, vaginal bleeding, invasive prenatal testing, prenatal diagnosis of CHD, intrauterine growth restriction, medical induction of labour or caesarean delivery before labour and year of birth.
‡Including induction of ovulation.
ART, assisted reproductive technique; CHD, congenital heart defect; ICSI, intracytoplasmic sperm injection; IVF, in vitro fertilisation; Ref, reference.
one-third was due to the direct effect of infertility treatments (ie, not mediated by multiple pregnancies).

In general, the exact mechanisms of the association between the higher risk of PTB and multiple pregnancies are not completely known. Multiple pregnancies are known to be associated with the underlying infertility, the vanishing twin syndrome, the zygosity (or chorionicity) or other maternal characteristics. However the extent to which these factors may increase the risk of PTB in multiple pregnancies is not known.

Previous studies have shown that the risk of PTB associated with infertility treatments in the general population is not limited to multiple pregnancies. This concurs with our result showing that one-third of the effect on PTB associated with infertility treatments was a ‘direct’ effect, that is, not mediated by multiple pregnancies.

Our study has certain limitations. We could not conduct detailed separate analyses for categories of CHD, whereas the risk of PTB was shown to vary between categories of CHD. Data used in this study were collected during the 2005–2008 period and the treatments of infertility have probably evolved since, including their indications. For example ICSI, which was initially indicated almost exclusively in the case of male infertility, is now routinely used in most IVF cycles in France. Further studies also are needed to assess the specific effects of IVF and ICSI separately, as we had insufficient power to look at IVF alone versus ICSI.

Data on infertility treatments exposure may be incomplete (under-reported) in our study. However, we have no reason to believe that any under-reporting of infertility treatments would be related to PTB. Therefore, misclassification bias according to exposure to infertility treatments is rather unlikely and, if existing, it would be non-differential.

We did not take into account the potential role of vanishing twin syndrome, which appears to be more non-differential. However the extent to which these factors may increase the risk of PTB in multiple pregnancies is not known.

Previous studies have shown that the risk of PTB associated with infertility treatments in the general population is not limited to multiple pregnancies. This concurs with our result showing that one-third of the effect on PTB associated with infertility treatments was a ‘direct’ effect, that is, not mediated by multiple pregnancies.

Our study has certain limitations. We could not conduct detailed separate analyses for categories of CHD, whereas the risk of PTB was shown to vary between categories of CHD. Data used in this study were collected during the 2005–2008 period and the treatments of infertility have probably evolved since, including their indications. For example ICSI, which was initially indicated almost exclusively in the case of male infertility, is now routinely used in most IVF cycles in France. Further studies also are needed to assess the specific effects of IVF and ICSI separately, as we had insufficient power to look at IVF alone versus ICSI.

Data on infertility treatments exposure may be incomplete (under-reported) in our study. However, we have no reason to believe that any under-reporting of infertility treatments would be related to PTB. Therefore, misclassification bias according to exposure to infertility treatments is rather unlikely and, if existing, it would be non-differential.

We did not take into account the potential role of vanishing twin syndrome, which appears to be more frequent in pregnancies conceived following IVF±ICSI. It is therefore possible that some pregnancies that were initially twin pregnancies were finally classified as singleton pregnancies. This classification bias may result in the underestimation of the association between infertility treatments and multiple pregnancies and would lower the estimation of the indirect effect mediated by multiple pregnancies. However, this effect is likely to be small as the percentage of vanishing twin syndrome remains low overall.

Anonymisation of data for our registry does not allow us to identify twins for a given mother. Therefore, we could not account for important correlations that exist between multiple pregnancies, particularly twins.

Analyses were systematically adjusted for year of birth to take into account any potential association of time with infertility treatments and/or risk of PTB, but we did not examine whether infertility treatments effect on PTB changed over time.

Further adjustment for in utero growth retardation did not modify substantially neither our estimates of the risk of PTB associated with infertility treatments nor the quantification of the effect of multiple pregnancies in this association (data not shown). Nevertheless, residual confounding by other characteristics cannot be excluded.

Our study was not designed to and cannot disentangle to what extent the observed association between the risk of PTB and infertility treatments may be due to any causal effects of infertility treatments and/or other factors such as the underlying infertility of couples who conceive following infertility treatments.

CONCLUSION

As many as 41% of newborns with CHD conceived following infertility treatments were born preterm; this represented a fivefold higher adjusted odds of PTB than that of newborns with CHD conceived spontaneously. Approximately two-thirds of this higher risk of PTB associated with infertility treatments was mediated by multiple pregnancies, whereas a third occurred by mechanisms unrelated to multiple pregnancies. Newborns with CHD conceived following infertility treatments are a particularly high-risk group due to an increased risk of ‘double jeopardy’ of CHD and PTB.
Does assisted reproduction technology, per se, increase the risk of preterm birth? BJOG 2006;113(Suppl 3):68–71.
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